EXHIBIT A

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12535-1 Filed: 06/21/22 Entered: 06/21/22 18:54:35 Page 1 of 40

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	1	EXAMINATION INDEX
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	2	TODD GREENBERG PAGE
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	3	BY MS. DODGE 5
4	5	4	2
5	In re:	5	
6	PG&E CORPORATION, Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088(DM)	6	
7	and ` ´	7	EXHIBIT INDEX
8	Chapter 11 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC (Lead Case) COMPANY, (Jointly administered)	8	EXHIBIT A - ELECTRIC RULE NO. 14 118
9		9	EXHIBIT B - ELECTRIC RULE NO. 2 124
0	Debtors.	10	
1	Affects PG&E Corporation X Affects Pacific Gas and	11	
2	Electric Company Affects both Debtors	12	
3		13	
4	*All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No. 19-30088(DM).	14	
5		15	
6	DEPOSITION OF TODD GREENBERG	16	
7		17	
8	Taken via Zoom Video Conferencing	18	
19	Friday, May 13, 2022, 1:04 p.m.	19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23 24	Reported by:	23 24	
25	Melissa Slover, CSR No. 9787	25	
اد	Melissa Slover, CSK No. 9767		3
4			
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	1	Whereupon the following proceedings were had
2	For Pacific Gas and Electric Company:	3	and testimony taken, to wit:
4	• •	4	MS. REPORTER: Good afternoon. My name is
	BY: IENNIEED I DONGE ESO		ino. Illi oililli. Good aiteiliooli. My haille is
	2512 Artesia Boulevard, Suite 300D	5	•
5	LAW OFFICES OF JENNIFER L. DODGE, INC. BY: JENNIFER L. DODGE, ESQ. 2512 Artesia Boulevard, Suite 300D Redondo Beach, California 90278 (310) 371-3344	5	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter
5 6	2512 Artesia Boulevard, Suite 300D Redondo Beach, California 90278 (310) 371-3344 jdodgelaw@jenniferdodgelaw.com	6	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number
5 6 7	(310) 371-3344		Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is
5 6 7 8	(310) 371-3344	6 7	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m.
5 6 7 8	(310) 371-3344	6 7 8	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the
5 6 7 8 9	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP	6 7 8 9	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m.
5 6 7 8 9 0	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A LAPPING, FSQ	6 7 8 9 10	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric
5 6 7 8 9 0 1	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A. LAPPING, ESQ. 540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, California 94133 (415) 399-1015	6 7 8 9 10 11	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company as Debtors. This case is venued in the United
5 7 8 9 0 1 2	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A LAPPING, FSQ	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company as Debtors. This case is venued in the United States Bankruptcy Court, San Francisco Division. The
5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A. LAPPING, ESQ. 540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, California 94133 (415) 399-1015	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company as Debtors. This case is venued in the United States Bankruptcy Court, San Francisco Division. The case number is 19-30088(DM).
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A. LAPPING, ESQ. 540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, California 94133 (415) 399-1015	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company as Debtors. This case is venued in the United States Bankruptcy Court, San Francisco Division. The case number is 19-30088(DM). Will the parties please identify themselves for
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A. LAPPING, ESQ. 540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, California 94133 (415) 399-1015	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company as Debtors. This case is venued in the United States Bankruptcy Court, San Francisco Division. The case number is 19-30088(DM). Will the parties please identify themselves for the record. MS. DODGE: Yes. Jennifer Dodge on behalf of PG&E.
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A. LAPPING, ESQ. 540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, California 94133 (415) 399-1015	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company as Debtors. This case is venued in the United States Bankruptcy Court, San Francisco Division. The case number is 19-30088(DM). Will the parties please identify themselves for the record. MS. DODGE: Yes. Jennifer Dodge on behalf of PG&E. MR. LAPPING: This is Richard Lapping on behalf
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 1 7 1 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A. LAPPING, ESQ. 540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, California 94133 (415) 399-1015	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company as Debtors. This case is venued in the United States Bankruptcy Court, San Francisco Division. The case number is 19-30088(DM). Will the parties please identify themselves for the record. MS. DODGE: Yes. Jennifer Dodge on behalf of PG&E. MR. LAPPING: This is Richard Lapping on behalf of Mr. Greenberg.
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A. LAPPING, ESQ. 540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, California 94133 (415) 399-1015	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company as Debtors. This case is venued in the United States Bankruptcy Court, San Francisco Division. The case number is 19-30088(DM). Will the parties please identify themselves for the record. MS. DODGE: Yes. Jennifer Dodge on behalf of PG&E. MR. LAPPING: This is Richard Lapping on behalf
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A. LAPPING, ESQ. 540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, California 94133 (415) 399-1015	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company as Debtors. This case is venued in the United States Bankruptcy Court, San Francisco Division. The case number is 19-30088(DM). Will the parties please identify themselves for the record. MS. DODGE: Yes. Jennifer Dodge on behalf of PG&E. MR. LAPPING: This is Richard Lapping on behalf of Mr. Greenberg. THE WITNESS: Todd Greenberg.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A. LAPPING, ESQ. 540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, California 94133 (415) 399-1015	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company as Debtors. This case is venued in the United States Bankruptcy Court, San Francisco Division. The case number is 19-30088(DM). Will the parties please identify themselves for the record. MS. DODGE: Yes. Jennifer Dodge on behalf of PG&E. MR. LAPPING: This is Richard Lapping on behalf of Mr. Greenberg. THE WITNESS: Todd Greenberg.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A. LAPPING, ESQ. 540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, California 94133 (415) 399-1015	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company as Debtors. This case is venued in the United States Bankruptcy Court, San Francisco Division. The case number is 19-30088(DM). Will the parties please identify themselves for the record. MS. DODGE: Yes. Jennifer Dodge on behalf of PG&E. MR. LAPPING: This is Richard Lapping on behalf of Mr. Greenberg. THE WITNESS: Todd Greenberg.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A. LAPPING, ESQ. 540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, California 94133 (415) 399-1015	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company as Debtors. This case is venued in the United States Bankruptcy Court, San Francisco Division. The case number is 19-30088(DM). Will the parties please identify themselves for the record. MS. DODGE: Yes. Jennifer Dodge on behalf of PG&E. MR. LAPPING: This is Richard Lapping on behalf of Mr. Greenberg. THE WITNESS: Todd Greenberg. TODD GREENBERG, called as a witness herein, who being by me first given an oath to state the truth, was thereupon examined and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	For Creditor Todd Greenberg: TRODELLA & LAPPING, LLP BY: RICHARD A. LAPPING, ESQ. 540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, California 94133 (415) 399-1015	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Melissa Slover. I am a certified shorthand reporter licensed by the State of California. My license number is 9787. Today's date is May 13, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:04 p.m. This is the deposition of Todd Greenberg in the matter of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company as Debtors. This case is venued in the United States Bankruptcy Court, San Francisco Division. The case number is 19-30088(DM). Will the parties please identify themselves for the record. MS. DODGE: Yes. Jennifer Dodge on behalf of PG&E. MR. LAPPING: This is Richard Lapping on behalf of Mr. Greenberg. THE WITNESS: Todd Greenberg.

GLW & Associates

(559) 974-1914 glwassociates@att.net Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12535-1 Filed: 06/21/22 Entered: 06/21/22 18:54:35 Page 2

Deposition of Todd Greenberg **EXAMINATION** 1 Do you understand that? 2 2 A. Uh-huh. BY MS. DODGE: Q. You need to answer out loud. 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Greenberg. Can you hear me 3 A. Yes. 4 okay? 5 5 Q. Okay. And that was my next admonition is the A. I can. Good afternoon. 6 Q. Have you ever been deposed before? 6 court reporter is taking down what we say. She can 7 7 only take down verbal responses as opposed to gestures A. Yes, once. 8 Q. When was that, approximately? like nods or shakes of the head or "um-um" or "huh-uh.' 9 If appropriate, try to say yes or no or I don't know. A. Two or three years ago. 10 Q. In conjunction with what type of case? Say it out loud rather than making some gesture. 11 A. It was a class action. 11 Does that make sense? 12 12 Q. Regarding what? A. Understood. 13 13 A. You'd have to -- I don't know, I'm not an Q. Okay. It's important for us not to speak over 14 attorney, so you would have to look at exactly what it 14 one another because the court reporter can only take one 15 15 of us speaking at a time, so please wait for me to is. 16 Q. Well, what was the nature of the -- who was the finish asking my question before you start your 16 17 defendant? 17 response, and then I'll wait for you to finish your 18 A. It was a claim -- it was a claims-type thing 18 response before I start my next question. 19 where a product was making a claim. 19 Does that make sense? 20 20 Q. You were making a claim against the product? A. Understood. 21 21 A. It was a product labeling issue. Q. If you don't understand one of my questions, 22 22 Q. What type of product? please let me know and I'll try to ask it in a way that 23 A. It was a vitamin. 23 makes it understandable to you. If you answer my 24 Q. Okay. Is that action still pending? 24 question, I'm going to assume you understood it. 25 25 Is that fair? A. No. 5 Q. Okay. Did it settle? 1 A. Could you restate that again? I'm not sure 1 2 A. I don't know. I'm not an attorney. It was 2 about the assumption. resolved. I don't know whether it was settled. I don't 3 3 4 know the technical terms for it. 4 5 Q. Okay. It didn't go to trial? 6 6 7 Q. Okay. Where was that case venued? Where was 7 the question. 8 it filed? 8 Is that fair? 9 A. Again, I'm not an attorney. I don't know. 9 10 Q. Was it San Francisco Superior? 11 A. I don't know. I don't know the different 11 understand them. 12 12 courts. I don't know how to answer that question. 13 Q. Okay. Who was your attorney in that case? 13 14 A. Someone named -- I don't know if she goes by

15

16

17

18

19 20

22

24

25 penalty of perjury.

Patty or Patricia Siverson.

Q. I'm sure Mr. Lapping has explained to you the

since your deposition was taken so I'm going to go over 18

some of the ground rules with you so we have them on the

procedure here. I know it's been a couple of years

record. You understand that you're testifying here

21 today under oath, that your answers can be read to the

judge, and even though we're here in this informal --

effect as if you were testifying in a court of law under

23 actually, remote setting, your testimony has the same

Q. If you don't understand one of my questions, please tell me that and I will try to rephrase it in a way that makes it understandable for you. But if you answer my question, I'm going to assume you understood

A. I don't know if it's fair, but I'll certainly answer your questions to the best of my ability as I

Q. Okay. The court reporter, as I said, is taking down everything we say today. She's going to prepare a booklet of your testimony in written form. You'll have the opportunity, once that has been prepared, to review your deposition testimony, go through it, you can make any changes or corrections that you need to make if you feel like something wasn't transcribed properly or, you know, it wasn't what you intended to say. But if you make changes of a substantive nature like changing a "yes" to a "no" in response to an important question, it can affect your credibility as a witness. It's important to try to give your best testimony here today.

Does that make sense?

A. Absolutely.

8

7

15

17

22

23

24

Q. Okay. If at any time you need a break, please let me know. We'll go off the record. We'll resume when you're ready to go. So if there's a pending question, I'm going to ask you to answer that before we take the break. If you need to talk with your attorney at any time, that's fine; however, if there is a pending question I'm going to ask that you answer that before you then go off record to speak with your attorney. Okay?

A. Uh-huh.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Understood? Yes?

A. Sure, subject to counsel's objections and how 13 he advises me.

MR. LAPPING: We understand the rule about no breaks during a pending question --

16 BY MS. DODGE:

Q. I don't want you to guess at responses to any of my questions, but it is acceptable for you to provide 18 19 me with an estimate. The way I differentiate the two is 20 a guess is something that you have no basis of knowledge0 21 for, like if I asked you how long is the desk in my 22 office, you would be guessing because you've never see r22 23 it before. But if I ask you to estimate the length of 24 the desk where you might be sitting in front of or where 24 the computer is on right now it's right in front of you, 25

you have a basis for knowledge, it would be acceptable, then, for you to give me an estimate.

Does that make sense?

A. Certainly.

Q. Is there any reason you feel you can't testify fully and truthfully today?

A. No. Other than any potential concerns anyone might have with Friday the 13th.

Q. I did note that it was a Friday the 13th. What did you do to prepare for your deposition today, if anything?

A. I reviewed the answers that we previously provided to you with counsel and we've looked at a lot

Q. When you said answers, are you referring to the responses to the written discovery, the interrogatories, request for production, and request for admissions?

A. Correct.

Q. How long did you spend preparing for your deposition?

A. I don't know the answer to that. I don't have attorneys' clocks running. I don't have that software.

Q. You don't need -- this is an example of when it's acceptable for you to give me an estimate because you have a basis for knowing. Again, it doesn't have to

be an exact. You could give me an estimate of the time you spent. That doesn't have to be just with talking with Mr. Lapping, it can be time you spent on your own preparing as well.

A. Are you talking since 2015?

Q. No. I'm talking since you received or since you became aware that your deposition was going to be taken today, since that time until now how much time have you spent preparing for the deposition?

A. More than I'd like to have.

Q. Can you give me an estimate?

A. Again, I need to go back and qualify this more. 13 You're saying since I was aware that there would be a deposition? 14

Q. Correct.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

18

19

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

19

20

21

24

25

A. So does that mean from the time that I first 17 filed the claim in PG&E's bankruptcy.

Q. No. No. It's from the time Mr. Lapping advised you that PG&E had noticed your deposition here. I believe the notice of deposition was served, I don't know when it was, three weeks ago up until now, how much time have you spent preparing for your deposition again? It's just an estimate.

A. If you could give me the exact date. I don't have that in front of me. I know I've seen the document

11

at some point in time, but if you gave me a date I can give you a better estimate.

Q. All right. Hold on. Let me pull it up. April 6, 2022?

A. April 6, 2022. Rich, do you know when I received that if you provided that to Rich on April 6th? I would have to go back and review my record to find out when I received it, but I don't know the answer right now.

Q. Okay.

MR. LAPPING: Answer for the last seven days. How much time did you spend preparing?

THE WITNESS: Over the last seven days the large part of my time, if not prior to the last seven days, has been spent in various modes trying to provide the information that you've requested and preparing for this.

18 BY MS. DODGE:

Q. Okay. All right. That's fine. What is your date of birth?

A. Is this for personal identification reasons and 22 is there a way for privacy this is not included in 23 public records?

Q. This transcript is not filed with the Court.

A. Rich. I was born in 1964.

12

GLW & Associates

1	Q. Okay. What is your current address?	1	Q. What year did you graduate?
2	A. 47 Bolinas Road, Unit A, Fairfax, California	2	A. 1982.
3	94930.	3	Q. Did you attend college?
4	Q. Is Unit A the upper unit at the property?	4	A. Yes, I did.
5	A. Correct.	5	Q. What college?
6	Q. How long have you lived at that unit?	6	A. Again, do I need to give my whole educational
7	A. Since July 2019.	7	history here? I've gone to UCLA. I've gone to San
8	Q. You've lived in the Unit A continuously since	8	Francisco State. I've gone to College of Marin. I've
9	July 2019 to the present; correct?	9	gone to UC Berkeley. I've gone to Merritt College, and
10	A. Correct.	10	I'd have to think if there's one more.
11	Q. Where did you live prior to July 2019?	11	Q. Okay. Did you receive degrees from any of
12	A. In the lower unit, which we refer to now as	12	those institutions?
13	Unit B.	13	A. Yes, I did. I'm not quite sure what the point
14	Q. When did you first live in Unit B?	14	of this questioning is.
15	A. In, I believe, November of 2019.	15	MR. LAPPING: Answer the question.
16	Q. That was after the property was purchased?	16	BY MS. DODGE:
17	 A. Shortly after we purchased the property, 	17	Q. Can you tell me the degrees you received and
18	correct.	18	from which institutions?
19	Q. And prior to that where did you live?	19	A. Sure. I'm still baffled as to the relevancy to
20	A. I lived in Mill Valley.	20	the claim that this has.
21	Q. I'm sorry. Mill Valley?	21	Q. We're entitled to ask background information
22	A. Yes, another town in Marin County called Mill	22	when you're being deposed when you bring a claim wher
23	Valley.	23	you bring a lawsuit. This is just basic general
24	Q. What was your address there?	24	background information.
25	A. 71 Oakdale Avenue in Mill Valley, 94941.	25	MR. LAPPING: It's okay.
	13		15
1	Q. How long did you live there?	1	THE WITNESS: So I have a degree in history and
2	A. Approximately seven years.	2	business a specialization in business administration
3	Q. Did you live there with anyone?	3	from UCLA. I have that's a BA with a specialization.
4	A. Yes.	4	BY MS. DODGE:
5	Q. Who?	5	Q. Okay.
6	A. A number of different people depending on the	6	A. I have a degree in real estate that is an A.S.
7	year. I first moved in with Chuck Doyle who lived there	7	degree from College of Marin. I have a degree in
8	prior to my living there. Do I need to produce the	8	applied accounting from College of Marin, and I have a
9	names of all the people I lived with before?	9	degree in computer information systems from College of
10	Q. No, that's not necessary. I just wanted to	10	Marin. They're all A.S. degrees.
11	establish so that was seven years, so that would have	11	Q. That's associate of science?
12	been from around 2008 to 2015 approximately?	12	A. I believe so.
13	A. I said approximately seven years.	13	Q. Okay. And what about San Francisco State?
14	Q. Right. I was just working my way back.	14	A. San Francisco State, UC Berkeley, some courses
15	A. Yeah. If it helps, if you're interested in	15	at College of Marin were all part of getting G.E. done
16	this topic, I'll try to help you out okay. Then I	16	for UCLA.
17	don't need to.	17	Q. So did you attend those and then you finished
18	Q. That's okay. All right. Are you a high school	18	your degree at UCLA?
19	graduate?	19	A. No, I started at UCLA.
20	A. Do we need to go into my education here?	20	Q. Okay. And then you went back to UCLA?
21	MR. LAPPING: Yes.	21	A. Yes. One summer I went to three different
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, I am a high school graduate.	22	schools throughout the week in order to get a lot of
23	BY MS. DODGE:	23	G.E. requirements out of the way. I drove to Berkeley
24	Q. What high school?	24	and then sometimes in the evening to SF State.
25		0.5	O Okay That was while you were at UCLA you were

_

25

14 | 16

Q. Okay. That was while you were at UCLA you were

25

A. Redwood High School.

Case: 19-30088

just getting your G.E. requirements done?

- A. That was one summer, correct.
- Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18 19

20

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

- A. Then Merritt College, I took courses in horticulture there. I also took courses in real estate finance at Merritt College.
- Q. Did you receive any A.S. or any other degree from Merritt?
 - A. No.
 - Q. What year did you graduate UCLA?
- A. I'd have to go back and double-check, but I'd 12 like to say it was '93. 1993.
- 13 Q. Do you have any degree beyond a bachelor's, an advanced degree beyond that like a master's or anything 14 14 15 like that?
 - A. No.
 - Q. All right. Can you -- again, this is again general background information. Who is your current
 - A. I'm not working for anyone right now.
- 21
- 22 A. Unfortunately, PG&E sort of crippled me.
- Q. Okay. When -- what was your most recent job 23 24 where you received compensation?
 - A. I've done a variety of independent contractor

17

jobs including doing some consulting work for an investment manager, including Green Street Capital Management. I've also done some sort of various, various types of work for various contractors who needed somebody who had attention to detail.

- Q. When you say "various contractors," what type of work were you doing?
- A. Oh, some wood fence staining long ago when I was in high school. I worked for The Growing Concern and I did antique and antique replicas refinishing. So I have some abilities with wood.
- 12 Q. Can you just give me since you graduated from 13 UCLA in approximately '93 be can you give me the names13 14 of any employers or people that you worked for from then14 15 until now? 15
- 16 A. You know, I had no idea we were going to go 17 through my job history here today, so I do not have all 18 of that in front of me.
- 19 Q. Yeah, I don't need an exact. This is again 20 just based on your recollection, you know -- let me ask 21 this. What's -- have you ever worked for a company where you received a W-2 tax form? 22
- 23 A. Sure.

25

- 24 Q. Okay. What company?
 - A. You know, again, I would have to look back --

you have to give me some time to go research this kind of questioning.

- Q. Okay. What's the longest period of time that you've held a job where you were W-2'd?
 - A. Again, same answer.
- Q. Okay. Just based on your memory, can you -was there a long stretch of time? Have you worked for anybody longer than five years, say?
- A. No. Other than myself. As you ask these questions I can think of some things. I can think of a W-2 right away.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11 12

17

21

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 13 A. Right now that was for a period of time. I worked for -- out of college I worked for a gentleman 15 who had left Bear Stearns and I helped him set up a 16 hedge fund.
 - Q. Okay.
- 18 A. After that I worked with my brother who was a trader on the -- options trader on the Pacific Coast 20 Exchange, options exchange at the time. After that I worked for Churchill Capital Management, and I ran a 22 separate pool of capitol and made a good set of returns 23 for them. After that I launched my own hedge fund.
 - Q. Okay. How long did you work for Churchill Capital Management approximately? Again, this is an

- estimate, it doesn't have to be an exact period of time.
 - A. Approximately a year and a half.
- Q. You said you helped somebody who had left Bear Stearns, set up a hedge fund. How long did you work with him? I think you said him.
- A. Approximately a year, year and a half, somewhere in there.
- Q. How long did you work with your brother on the options trading?
 - A. Six to nine months before Churchill hired me.
- Q. Okay. And then you set up your own hedge fund after that, after you left Churchill; correct?
- A. Yeah. While I was at Churchill I returned 67-point-something percent AIMR-compliant returns. We did quite well for them.
- Q. Your own hedge fund, how long did you run that for?
 - A. Slightly over a year.
 - Q. What did you do after that, workwise?
- A. Again, I'm going to state that I didn't know I was going to be quizzed on my life or work life and I'm puzzled when why you're asking these questions when we've got a refrigerator damages claim.
- Q. We're going to get to that. Again, this is general background information. I will tell you any

20

deponent I depose I always go back. I go through their educational and their work history. It's just -- it's very standard. It's nothing special as to you. We're going to get to the refrigerator claim.

A. Again, I'm going to answer I had no idea I was going to get quizzed about the history of what I've done in my life.

Q. Okay. Well, maybe when we take a break if you think of anything else after the hedge fund when we come9 back on the record then you can say, you know, hey, Ms. Dodge, I thought of a couple other jobs that I held 11 and we can put it in then. Okay? 12

A. Sure.

3

5 6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

Q. All right. How many claims have you filed against PG&E total, not limited to the refrigerator claim -- in total regarding anything?

A. Well, this is going to be to the best of my recollection.

Q. Right.

A. There have been a lot of outages and I don't know the answer to some of the food spoilage claims that I submitted. You would have to research that. You have better access to PG&E files than I do.

Q. Are you talking about how many food spoilage claims you've submitted you can't remember? 25

21

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Can you give me an approximate number?

A. Again, I would be guessing.

Q. No, you would be estimating; guessing is when you have no basis. You were the one that filed the claims, so you have some basis for knowing.

A. Yeah, two or three. Two or three times, I believe, PG&E had outages that resulted in food

Q. Okay. You submitted claims for those?

A. I don't recall. I think I submitted two or three. I don't know.

Q. What was the outcome of those claims?

A. PG&E always denies it.

Q. Okay. Did you follow up on any of those denied claims? Again, I'm not including the refrigerator claim here. I'm talking about the other ones you referenced. Did you have follow-up on any of those to file in small claims court or in a regular court?

20 A. Sure. I can go into the rest, a more expansive 21 answer for you. We got stuck on a small part of this. So he filed the reason I think the reason we're talking 22 today, I filed first with PG&E through to claims 24 process. There may -- again, I would have to refresh my memory and search for records, but somewhere along the line there was also an instance where I tried to go through some other process that PG&E has that I don't recall. It had multiple forks to it. You could either go informal or formal.

MR. LAPPING: Let me clarify. Are we talking about if he filed a claim against PG&E for food spoilage other than the refrigerator claim?

MS. DODGE: Right.

MR. LAPPING: You made several claims, but other than in another actual context.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if I still understand.

MR. LAPPING: Other than the refrigerator claim that arose in 2016 and whatever happened to that, did 14 you ever have any other claims ever against PG&E for food spoilage?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 MR. LAPPING: Okay.

19 BY MS. DODGE:

3

4

5

7

13

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

11

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Right. You said two to three that you can recall?

A. That's my best guess, yes.

Q. Estimate. Remember it's an estimate, not a guess. What I'm asking -- you said those were denied; correct, by PG&E?

23

A. Yes. Isn't that what you do for them?

Q. Okay. I ask the questions. I'm trying to set the basis for my next question, which is did you take any additional action following the denial of those two to three claims for food spoilage? Did you pursue it in court? Did you --

A. Sure, let's get to where I think you're going. You mentioned something about small claim before. Let's try to -- we can -- you can ask me about other things, but you're -- I thought we were here today --

MR. LAPPING: Just answer the question as best you can. 12

13 BY MS. DODGE:

> Q. Yeah. I'm not talking about the refrigerator claim. I'm talking about you said two to three other claims for food spoilage that were denied by PG&E. My question to you is did you take any additional action following the denial of those claims in court, small claims court, another venue?

A. Other than the PG&E claims process for the any other PG&E -- well, for refrigerator or food spoilage, I did not. In this instance to be clear.

Q. Okay. We're not talking about this. We're going to get to this one, I promise. I'm trying to address the other ones first before we get to this one.

Okay. So you said two to three for food spoilage. Have you filed any other claims besides the refrigerator claim and the two to three food spoilage claims? Excluding those, have you filed any other claims against PG&E for anything?

A. There's a -- it would help if we sort of went in order a little bit, but I can try to answer your question the way you were asking it. PG&E, subsequent to the refrigerator damages claim -- refrigerator power surge power fluctuations damages claim, overlapped our decision-making process by causing construction damages related to a safety-based gas lines retrofit and gas meters relocation project at the front and entrance of the property.

- Q. Okay. When you say "the property," you're talking about 47 Bolinas; correct?
 - A. Correct.

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10 11

25

- 18 Q. Okay. And how many claims did you file 19 regarding that gas retrofit project?
 - A. So there were three claims filed in the PG&E bankruptcy that I believe you're aware of.
 - Q. Right. One is the refrigerator claim; correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. That we're here about today. There's another one regarding some construction or concrete damages;

25

correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And then another one involving a tree damage;
- A. Trees -- damages to heritage Redwood trees. Tree trespass.
- Q. So that's three claims you filed in the 7 bankruptcy. So now my question is excluding those three8 claims you filed in the bankruptcy, excluding the two to three food spoilage claims that you filed against PG&E, 10 have you filed any other claims against PG&E at any 11 12 time? 12

13 A. As you mentioned earlier, but I didn't really 14 get to explain because we're asking such a broad 15 question here, I went through the PG&E directed claims process that landed the refrigerator power damage claim 17 if bankruptcy court. As part of that, and we've 18 explained this to you previously so I'm not quite sure I'm being asked this, but as part of that PG&E claims 19 20 process that has been dragged out for years now, after a 21 year-plus ultimately PG&E said we're not going to pay the claim but we will pay your homeowners insurance 22 23 deductible if you're willing to put it on your 24 homeowners insurance.

Q. Mr. Greenberg, I want to stop you right here.

You're not answering my question. I don't know if you're not listening to it. I would either have the court reporter read it back to you, or I will say it one more time. The answer is yes or no. It's not an explanation. It's a yes or no answer. Okay?

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. My question is excluding the three claims you filed in bankruptcy, the refrigerator claim, the concrete claim, the tree claim, and the two to three claims that you filed against PG&E regarding food spoilage, excluding all of those, have you filed any other claims against PG&E at any time; yes or no?
- 13 A. Yes. 14

2

3

5

6

7

11 12

15

16

17

18

21

25

2

3

4

5

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- Q. Okay.
- A. As you know --
- Q. No, not as you know. Please identify what those claims are.
 - A. So prior to filing in small claims.

19 MR. LAPPING: Wait a minute. Let me make it 20 clear, Todd. She's not -- the refrigerator claim went through a series of claims. Forget that. Yes. She's 22 asking any other claims.

23 THE WITNESS: Okay.

24 BY MS. DODGE:

Q. Yes or no. I'm asking you not the refrigerator

not the property damage claims, not the food spoilage claims, put all those to the side. Have you filed any other claims than those?

- A. Not that I'm aware of unless this is a trick question.
- Q. I'm not trying to trick you. I'm just trying to find out if there are any other claims out there. Okay. So your answer is no; correct?
- A. No. Other than what I answered previously that as part of some of these claims process at some point PG&E referred me to some -- some -- maybe it was a CPUC process or something that I tried to follow.
- Q. What was the CPUC process was in relation to
 - A. I would have to go back and review it.
 - Q. Was it one of the food spoilage claims?
- A. I believe it's related to this refrigerator claim. I don't know at this point. I would have to go back and look.
- Q. Okay. Did you go through any type of CPUC process or contact the PUC regarding the claim?
- 22 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you file something separately with the PUC?
- 24 A. I would have to go back and look because I was new to this at the time. I was trying to get this

28

solved.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

15

16

17

21

22

24

25

- Q. Right. But it's your recollection that your contact to the PUC was with regard to the refrigerator claim or another claim?
 - A. That's what I think at this point in time.
 - Q. This refrigerator claim?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall what the outcome of your contact with PUC was with regard to the refrigerator claim?
 - A. Yes, it was unsatisfactory.
- Q. Okay. Did you receive any type of writing from the PUC regarding your claim --12
- A. I received something that came back sometime after initially that didn't bear any resemblance to what 14 15 had been discussed, it was full of errors.
 - Q. Okay. Was that something in writing from the PUC in response to your complaint to them?
- A. Again, I would have to look back and find out 18 19 whether it was from them or whether it was from a PG&E-20 led program. It was a long time ago.
 - Q. Okay. But your recollection was that was related to the refrigerator claim; correct?
 - A. I believe so, yes.
 - Q. All right. Now, listen carefully to my question. Other than all the claims we've discussed,

right, and that's the refrigerator, property damage, the spoiled food claims that you've filed against PG&E, have 2 you ever filed any lawsuits against PG&E? I'm distinguishing between a claim where you submit that to 4 PG&E through their claims process versus a lawsuit that 5 vou file in court?

- A. Does small claims count?
- Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Yes. I've answered multiple times already.
- 10 I've filed some small claims.
 - Q. Or which claim or claims?
 - A. I'd have to go back and look how it was worded.
- Q. Okay. I believe you did file with regard to 14 the refrigeration claim; correct?
 - A. I think so at this point, but again, I would have to go back and refresh my memory.
- Q. I believe there's reference to that in the 18 documents we produced from the claims file. Do you recall other than that small claims action any other 19 20 lawsuits you filed against PG&E?
 - A. Not that I can recall at the present time.
- Q. Okay. Again, this is not limited to the 23 property where you currently reside. This is lawsuits against PG&E at any time.
 - A. Again, you are asking me for a history that I

30

wasn't refreshed or prepared and haven't researched.

- Q. Right. But I'm asking you based on your recollection other than the small claims suit filed with regard to the refrigerator claim, have you filed any lawsuits against PG&E at any time for any reason relating to anything?
- A. Not that I can recall currently.
- Q. Okay. Okay. That's all I'm asking. This is just based on your recollection. How many -- and again, I'm just asking for an estimate here. How many lawsuits have you filed in your lifetime, and not just against PG&E but against any entity? Again, I'm asking for your 13 best recollection and an estimate is acceptable.
- A. Yeah. So you're counting small claims court as 15 a lawsuit?
 - Q. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

14

16

17

20

25

1

7

8

9

10

11

16

22

23

24

25

- A. So two.
- 18 Q. Two. Is that the small claims and then the 19 vitamin one you referred to earlier?
 - A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Yes?
- 22 A. Correct. Other than the claims that we're 23 talking about today and the property damage and the food 24
 - Q. Those are all claims. Actually, I think now

31

we're aware that you filed the lawsuit in state court, this is the separate lawsuit that you filed in state court in Marin County just a couple of months ago in February; correct?

A. This is? What does this mean? MR. LAPPING: She is talking about the state court lawsuit.

THE WITNESS: Yes. There is a complaint filed in state court against the PG&E contractors that PG&E employed that did the damage at our property. BY MS. DODGE:

- 12 Q. All right. So then it's not two lawsuits, it's 13 three because you didn't include that one; correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Can you think of any others?
 - A. No, not that I can think of at the moment.
- 17 Q. Again, like I said, if during a break something 18 pops into your head and you remember something else you can go back on the record and say, hey, I thought of 19 20 another lawsuit. I want to update the record. Okay? 21
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Have you ever been determined by any court or anyone, I guess, to be a vexatious litigant? Have you ever heard that term?
 - A. No, I've never been determined, as you are

32

GLW & Associates

describing, a vexatious litigant.

- Q. Do you recall filing a claim against PG&E in 2012 for damages related to a stereo and receiver and microwave?
 - A. 2012 was before I lived in this property.
 - Q. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

23

24

25

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

- A. Correct.
- Q. Yes. Well, you tell me. You moved there in 2015, I believe?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you recall that?
- A. I don't presently. It sounds like it's something that might have happened.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall filing a claim in 2019 for spoiled food due to a power shut off safety event.
- 16 A. That's probably one of the claims I mentioned 17 earlier.
 - Q. Okay. Regarding the food spoilage?

 - Q. That claim was denied; correct?
- 21 A. I believe so. Isn't that -- does PG&E ever pay these claims? 22
 - Q. Mr. Greenberg, I'm asking the questions here. So when you moved into the property you got
 - a -- there was a home warranty; correct?

33

- A. Correct.
- Q. Through Fidelity Home Warranty?
- A. Correct. We purchased it at closing when we bought the property.
- Q. Okay. What did the home warranty cover? Was it just appliances or was it more extensive than that; do you recall?

A. You know, I think we purchased a duplex -- it wasn't clear at first. They have different types of policies. You could either have a single-family residence or a duplex policy. I believe we purchased a 12 duplex policy or a duplex policy was purchased because I am hazy on my recollection here because I think we paid 14 for some of it -- part of the agreement was the seller -- it's typical in the area the seller will pay 16 for some of it. When we found out that we had to do a duplex policy rather than a single-family home residence, I think we had to pay for some of it. We added a hot tub coverage too because I was hoping to someday install a hot tub there, which still has never been done.

- Q. Okay. Did that Fidelity Home Warranty cover 23 flooring in the duplex?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of, but perhaps. I think.
 - Q. Right. I'm just asking if you know based on

your recollection. You're not sure?

- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Okay. But it did cover appliances; correct?
- A. Correct.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

21

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

- Q. And then the refrigerator damage was pursuant to your Appliance Repair Doctor receipt that was \$288.51; correct? \$288.51?
- A. I would have to look back at that receipt to answer the question. That sounds approximately right.
- Q. Right. And then I believe you paid, like, \$65 for the service call; correct? There's a deductible you have to pay for the call; right?
- A. I do recall that there is a deductible. I 14 don't recall the exact amount that we paid for that deductible. I don't recall whether we had any proportional payment subsequent, but I -- yeah, we would have to look into that for you.
- 18 Q. Did you ever submit a claim to Fidelity Home 19 Warranty for replacement of the flooring that you claim 20 was damaged by the refrigerator?
 - A. No, not that I'm aware of.
- 22 Q. Okay. Then did you have -- you had homeowners 23 insurance on the property; correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Who was that through?

35

- A. I'd have to research that for you. We've changed companies.
- Q. Okay. Well, if you can -- my question is who issued the homeowners policy that would have been effective in 2016, so if you can check that during a break and let me know that would be helpful.
- A. I can try to get that for you during break. I don't know that I can get it for you that guickly. I may have to call the insurance agent.
- Q. Do you recall what your deductible was on that policy in 2016?
- A. No. Again, these questions I would have to 13 refer to my insurance agent.
- 14 Q. All right. So I am going to -- I want to go 15 through your responses to discovery. Do you have your -- a printed copy of your responses to the interrogatory requests and request for admissions?
 - A. I do.
- 19 Q. Great. First of all, the dates of your trip when you were away, that was February 14th through 20 21 February 21st, 2016; correct?
- 22 A. That sounds correct to me. Again, it was a long time ago. I believe we've submitted my plane 24 ticket, and we've already testified about this multiple 25 times.

Deposition of Todd Greenberg Q. When you say you testified about this, I'm not 2 2 really sure what you're referring to. This is your deposition. This is where you're giving testimony about 3 3 this claim. A. I do. 4 5 5 A. Oh. 6 Q. Okay? 6 7 7 A. Sorry. 8 Q. Just so you understand. When you say "testify," you're testifying here today. This is the 9 trustees; correct? 9 10 first time you've testified in this matter? 10 11 MR. LAPPING: Counsel, he submitted a 11 official records. 12 12 declaration. Is that what you mean? Q. Right. 13 13 THE WITNESS: That's what I'm referring to. 14 14 BY MS. DODGE: 15 Q. Right. I just want to make clear when he said 15 16 he's done this multiple times I'm just confirming on the 16 17 record those are the dates when he was away. There are 17 parents? documents that you have submitted. Those are the dates18 18 A. Correct. 19 I just wanted to confirm that comports with your 20 recollection? 20 correct? 21 21 A. Yes, but I'd refer to the documents. 22 22 Q. Okay. Well, do you have your binder? I 23 believe it's in binder two. 23 I was the beneficiary. 24 MR. LAPPING: No, he doesn't have that 24 25 25 available. A. Correct. 37 MS. DODGE: He doesn't have the documents you 1 1 produced available? 2 2 3 THE WITNESS: I do not have those in front of 3 4 4 me. 5 5 MR. LAPPING: Not as we're sitting here. correct? 6 6 BY MS. DODGE: A. Correct. 7 Q. I think I can share my screen. I think I have 7 8 them up here. Hold on. I can share my screen. 8 A. Correct.

Can you see the screen I have up? A. Yes. There's some very small pages on the

left. Q. Right. There is a larger page. Let me enlarge

it. This is a document you submitted. This is Bates Number TW175. It looks like this is your Mileage Plus account. Those are the dates there right here where I'm 16 motioning. Do you see that 2/14 or 2/21?

A. Sure do.

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

20

22 23

24

Q. Does that refresh your recollection those are 19 the dates you were gone?

A. Yes. I think more accurate -- to confirm more accurate -- this should be accurate. To confirm more 21 accurate you would probably want to look at the boarding pass that I previously submitted.

Q. Okay. All right. I'm going to stop sharing. 25 We may go back to that because that's probably helpful. Okay. Let's look at your interrogatory responses if you have that, if you have those there.

Do you have those there?

Q. I know you submitted some documents regarding ownership of the property. The owner of record, and I'm looking here at Interrogatory Number 1, was the **Greenberg Family Trust, Roger and Mary Greenberg**

A. Yes, subject to whatever wording is on the

A. This is my relay of my understanding of --

A. -- what that wording is.

Q. Okay. Roger and Mary Greenberg, are those your

Q. Okay. You were not a trustee at that trust;

A. I was a trustee -- sorry. Pardon me. I misunderstood your question. They were the trustees and

Q. So you were not a trustee; correct?

39

Q. In terms of your occupation of the property, we've discussed this before but I want to make sure I've got this correct. When you first moved into the property you moved into the lower unit which is Unit B;

Q. Okay. That's the three bedroom/one bath unit?

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

Q. And then you lived there from I think November of 2015 through July of 2019. I'm looking at your response to Interrogatory Number 2 if you want to see where I'm at.

A. I'd clarify. You said through and I believe I answered to July 2019.

Q. Okay. I'm sorry.

A. Which interrogatory number is this?

Q. Number 2.

18 A. Until July 2019. So I guess the word until 19 might have made things confusing. Until means up to 20 July 2019.

Q. So you lived there through June of 2019; correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And then in July of 2019 you moved into the upper unit, Unit A?

- A. As I stated in the interrogatories, yes.
- Q. Okay. Then you've lived in that upper unit through the present; correct?
 - A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

19

20

21

22

23

25

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- Q. All right. I'm looking on now this is Page 4 of your interrogatory responses if you want to see where 6 I'm referring to. In the fourth or third paragraph down you said the large cost to repair and the one-year delay to hear back if PG&E would be paying the repairs left us in limbo as to best how to proceed. What's your basis for saying you didn't know what PG&E's position was for 11 one year?
- 13 A. We didn't hear until -- definitively until Mike 14 Barnett from PG&E on January 10th, 2017, indicated that 15 Dustin Perkins and his boss Kevin Shaw were not willing 16 to do anything, were not going to pay the claim, and 17 were only willing to pay our homeowners insurance deductible. 18
 - Q. Okay. I'm going to share my screen again. I'm looking at and I'll make this larger. This is the documents that PG&E produced. This is PG&E0039.
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. Can you see my screen?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. And it's a letter dated April 22nd, 2016 --

41

- A. Right.
- Q. -- from PG&E to you. It's from Dustin Perkins, senior claims investigator.
 - A. Right.
- Q. The first paragraph states we have determined we cannot issue payment for your claim. This letter explains our decision.
 - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. How is that not a denial of your claim in April of 2016?
- A. Because Dustin Perkins and I continued talking after that. He ultimately referred me to his boss, I believe, his supervisor Kevin Shaw. Speaking with Kevin Shaw took some time. As I said, it wasn't until January 10th in 2017 that we heard back from Mike Barnett that they weren't going to change their minds but they were willing to pay for our homeowners insurance deductible.
- Q. Do you have any type of written communication from Mike Barnett in January of 2017 of that communication?

MR. LAPPING: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I can dig that up I can find it for you. Is there some reason you're not aware of this already?

25 ////

42

BY MS. DODGE:

2

3

4

5

9

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

17

20

21

22

25

- Q. Mr. Greenberg, I'm asking the questions. Okay? You're answering the questions. That's the process.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. I'm not in a position to answer your questions. Okay? Do you recall what your -- you don't recall what your homeowners deductible was?
- A. I answered already. No.
- Q. Why did you decide not to submit a claim for the damages through your homeowners policy?
- A. I've already answered that in the interrogatories, but I'll answer it again for you if you 13 wish. Do you?
- 14 Q. Yes, please do. Mr. Greenberg, let me explain 15 to you, unless your attorney objects to one of my questions your job is to answer my question. It's not to question why I'm asking it. Okay? If you could please do me the courtesy of doing that rather than with your comments. The process will go a lot faster. Okay?
 - A. Certainly. Could you please ask the question again?
- Q. Why did you decide not to submit a claim to 23 your homeowners policy for the damage you claim was 24 caused by the refrigerator, the damage to the flooring?
 - A. After we received the definitive answer on

January 10th, 2017, from PG&E we then had to communicate with our insurance agent and at least one if not more other insurance agents and other people and get advice on how to proceed and whether or not we should submit the claim via to take up PG&E's offer and to submit it via our homeowners insurance. They collectively advised us each in their own way that we should not submit the claim to our homeowners insurance as we would stand a large risk of being canceled or having to pay higher 10 rates for seven years.

Q. Okay. What other insurance agents did you 12 consult with besides the one from your insurance 13 company?

14 A. So it wasn't the one from my insurance company. 15 It was our independent insurance agent, Ken Baron 16 Insurance. Michael Baron.

Q. B-a-r-r-o-n?

18 A. Single R, I believe. I'm not positive about 19 that. He's in San Francisco.

Q. Okay. Anyone else? (Interruption in the Zoom proceedings.) BY MS. DODGE:

23 Q. We had a momentary interruption there. We'll 24 just continue on.

Mr. Greenberg, did you speak to anyone besides

Deposition of Todd Greenberg May 13, 2022 Mike and Ken Baron regarding whether you should submit a purchased back in October of 2015, were any renovations 2 claim to your homeowners policy? done to it before you occupied the lower unit? A. Okay. I believe it's Michael Baron, not Mike. 3 3 A. Not by us. 4 4 Q. Okay. Anything else? MR. LAPPING: Clarify the question. Do you 5 A. I don't think I spoke with Ken about it. I 5 mean between the time they purchased it and the time 6 think I just spoke with Michael. I spoke with someone they occupied? 7 7 named Gary Quan about this. MS. DODGE: Correct. 8 Q. How do you spell his last name? 8 THE WITNESS: Not that I recall by us. 9 A. Q-w -- I believe it's Q-u-a-n, I believe. 9 BY MS. DODGE: 10 Q. Okay. Is he an insurance agent? 10 Q. So when you moved into the lower unit in 11 A. Correct. 11 November of 2015, you hadn't done any sort of renovations of anything in that lower unit, is that 12 Q. And he advised you not to submit a claim 12 13 either? 13 correct, like replace the floor, painting, carpeting, or 14 A. Both of them said that it was ill advised to -anything like that? 14 15 that it was a transfer of risk from PG&E's liability 15 A. That's sort of a multiple question. 16 Q. Had you done any work in the lower unit --16 that we should not take on. 17 Q. All right. Your response in Interrogatory 17 Unit B, correct? -- before you moved in in November of Number 2 continues on that you decided to move into the 18 2015? 18 19 upper unit in July of 2019. Do you see that? 19 A. I don't recall whether I painted it or not. 20 Q. Okay. Was the flooring replaced --20 A. Yes. 21 21 Q. Okay. Why did you wait three years to move 22 into the upper unit? Q. -- after you purchased it? 22 23 23 A. Well, we had to -- everything got thrown into A. No. 24 limbo land and you have to make decisions based upon 24 Q. Okay. And the upper unit is a one bedroom/one multiple variables, so we had damages to that floor that 25 bath; correct? 25 45 47 needed to be fixed at some point or that we'd like to 1 A. Correct. 1 2 2 fix at some point. We had to decide whether and when I Q. Did you do any type of renovations in that unit before you purchased -- sorry, not before you was going to live in the lower unit or the upper unit, 3 3 and while we were waiting for PG&E to tell us what was 4 purchased -- after you purchased but before you occupied 4 5 5 going to happen we decided to take action upstairs and the property? make upstairs -- do various renovations upstairs. That 6 6 A. No. 7 was the soonest that it became available. 7 Q. Okay. Did -- does the unit upstairs, that's 8 8 Q. You said while you were waiting for PG&E to where you're living now; correct? 9 tell you what was going on? 9 A. Correct. 10 10 A. No. As I indicated in my prior answer, it Q. Okay. Did that have a refrigerator in it? 11 11 wasn't until January 10th, 2017, that we had a A. Correct. 12 12 definitive answer from PG&E. It wasn't until after that Q. When was that -- when was the refrigerator put to combine your other questions about insurance agents 13 in the upper unit or was there one there when you 13 14 we had to follow-up after we had that definitive answer 14 purchased it? 15 from PG&E with insurance agents and other people to 15 A. There was one there and that was removed when 16 discuss what we should do. 16 renovations began. 17 17 Q. Those discussion took two years? Q. Okay. So just to clarify. When you 18 A. No. In between when this happened we started purchased -- when the property was purchased in October 19 of 2015 there was a refrigerator in the upper unit; 19

renovating upstairs. We decided to renovate upstairs. So once the renovation was going on upstairs, I couldn't move upstairs.

Q. Okay.

20

21

22

23

25

A. It was sufficiently done that I could move in 24 by July 2019.

Q. Okay. When you -- when the property was first

A. Correct.

correct?

Q. Was that a working refrigerator?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. The lower unit, did that have a

refrigerator?

48

20

21

22

23

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Was that a working refrigerator?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

13

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Okay. But based on your interrogatory responses, the refrigerator at issue here is one that you purchased and brought to the property; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So you replaced the refrigerator that was there with the refrigerator you bought in the lower
- A. Yes. As stated, I upgraded all of the 12 appliances there.
- Q. Okay. And we'll get a little bit more into 14 this, but the refrigerator that you bought, where did 15 you purchase that?
 - A. As stated in response to your requests, I purchased it from a woman who lived in San Jose.
- Q. Okay. How did you find her? What was the 19 platform you used to purchase it?
 - A. I believe it was on Craigslist.
 - Q. Okay. And the refrigerator was used when you purchased it?
- 23 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have any idea how old the refrigerator was when you purchased it?

49

- A. Only what we've provided you and you have the model number and the serial number, but we don't know when it was placed into initial service.
- Q. Okay. Did you -- what was your criteria for finding a refrigerator on Craigslist when you were trying to upgrade the one that was there?
- A. I wanted a nicer one and I wanted it to look good and I don't recall the full set of criteria. But I wanted to upgrade things.
- Q. Right. Was it, like, a -- what was the refrigerator that was there when you purchased it, what was its appearance?
- A. It was an over-under and I think it was -- I 14 don't recall whether it was black or brown, but I wanted a side by side.
 - Q. When you say "over-under," what do you mean?
 - A. Freezer on top, refrigerator on the bottom.
 - Q. Okay. And it was black or brown and you wanted, like, a stainless upgraded?
- 20 A. Correct. The one that is there is not actual 21 stainless steel, but it's a stainless color.
- 22 Q. Looks like that?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. Right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. That's the LG refrigerator at issue here?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11 12

13

14

15

19

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Okay. And did you ask the woman you purchased the refrigerator from whether it had had any repairs in the past?
- A. Yeah. I believe we've provided you those e-mails that asked her whether it was in good working
- Q. I did see that, but the written -- the e-mails don't necessarily reflect whether you had any type of verbal conversations with her about the refrigerator. That's what I'm asking you.
- A. She indicated to me that it was in good working condition; otherwise, I wouldn't have gone to buy it.
 - Q. Did you ask her if it had had any repairs?
- 16 A. I don't recall. I don't recall what my verbal 17 conversations with her were however many years ago this 18 was, in 2013.
- Q. Okay. So when you -- so you purchased it in 2013. That was when you were living in Mill Valley? 20
- 21
- 22 Q. Okay. So then did you move the refrigerator to 23 that property?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Were you replacing a refrigerator at that

51

- property?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. How did you -- when you went to pick up the refrigerator, how did you transport it to the property in Mill Valley?
- A. You know, I don't recall how that was done at this point in time.
- Q. Okay. I saw there was some notations in the e-mails about dollies. Do you remember moving it yourself?
- A. I remember making plans to go down there. I don't know who came with me. I think in the e-mails I stated that somehow I wasn't going to have somebody and there was a question about whether her contractor was going to be there or not.
- Q. Okay. But my question is did you -- did you transport it yourself either with the assistance of somebody else, like, did you get a dolly and take it out of her property to bring to the Mill Valley property?
- 20 A. I don't know whether I was the one who took it 21 on a dolly. I don't know what happened there at that time. It does look from my correspondence with her like 22 I went down and picked it up. I'm not sure how we did what was necessary to transport it. I don't even recall 25 which vehicle we were in.

- Q. Okay. Do you have a truck that you use for transporting large items? A. I do have a truck.
- Q. And so then the refrigerator, and again, this is the refrigerator at issue, that was put into service at the Mill Valley property; correct?
 - A. Initially, yes, I believe so.
- Q. Okay. And then when the property, the 47 Bolinas property was purchased the refrigerator was transported from the Mill Valley property to the 47 **Bolinas property; correct?**
 - A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. Do you recall how the refrigerator was 14 transported during that trip?
 - A. No, I don't.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

11

12

15

16

19

25

Q. Did you have professional movers when you moved6

17 from Mill Valley to the 47 Bolinas property?

- A. I don't think I did. I'd have to go back and 19 check. My guess is that I probably got some of the guys that the movers hire that are at U-Haul.
 - Q. To help move your things from to 47 Bolinas from Mill Valley?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Were either of the units furnished when the property was purchased?

A. No. Let me clarify. I answered on the interrogatories that some items were included as part of the purchase, so I wouldn't say that they were furnished.

- Q. But they had some items in there?
- A. Yeah, that sort of fit for the lower unit.
- Q. Okay.

A. There was nothing in the upper unit, but there's a very nice, size-appropriate kitchen dinette table underneath a skylight that was part of the 10 purchase.

- Q. Okay. So the lower unit at the time of 13 purchase in 2015, the lower unit appliancewise had a 14 refrigerator, a stove, a dishwasher?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. A microwave?
- 17 A. Yes -- let me think about that. Give me a 18 little bit of time to think on that.
 - Q. Okay. That's fine.
- 20 A. I don't recall for sure.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. You know, I don't think it had a built-in
- 23 microwave.
- 24 Q. Okay.
 - A. Because there was a hood above the gas cooktop.

Q. Stove?

A. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19 20

22

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

15

16

17

24

53

- Q. The upper unit when the property was purchased in 2015 had a refrigerator, stove, dishwasher?
- A. The upper unit had a refrigerator, stove, and I'm not sure about dishwasher.
- Q. Okay.
- 8 A. It may have had one. I don't really recall at 9 this point.
 - Q. Okay. The refrigerator in the upper unit that was present at the time the property was purchased, can you describe that to me?
 - A. I want to say it was a white with freezer on top and refrigerator below, sort of rectangular-ish.
 - Q. Okay. And in February of 2016 you were living in the lower unit; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And no one was living in the upper unit?

 - Q. Okay. And the upper unit was unfurnished?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Were the appliances in both units 23 plugged in and working in February of 2016?
 - A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that for upstairs.

55

- Q. Why not?
- A. Because I didn't check. I can't confirm something that I'm not aware of.
- Q. Okay. When you were in -- so was your intent, then, when you were in the lower unit to renovate the upper unit to rent out at some point?
- A. Ultimately, that's the decision that we made. When we bought the property it was unclear.
- Q. Okay. When you were living in the lower unit 10 in, say, 2016 or 2015 you said you were aware what was 11 in the upper unit in terms of appliances. Did you ever 12 go up to the upper unit while you were living in the
- 14 A. Sure. Yes.

lower unit?

- Q. Did you keep anything in the kitchen up there, in the upper unit, food or anything like that?
 - A. I don't recall whatsoever at this point.
- 18 Q. Was that -- was that refrigerator plugged in 19 and working at the time of the purchase of the property 20 in the upper unit?
- 21 A. I don't know. I'm not aware whether it was 22 delivered to us with it plugged in or without it plugged 23 in?
 - Q. That was a bad question. I assume when the property was inspected at the time of purchase the

inspector would go through and tell you if something wasn't working; correct?

- A. To the extent of their abilities.
- Q. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. If they became aware of it, but that's an assumption.
- Q. Right. Did you have any awareness that the refrigerator in the upper unit was not working at the time of purchase?
- A. I don't know -- I think it was working. I just don't know whether it was plugged in or not. I'm not aware that it wasn't working.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I'm just not aware whether it was plugged in or 15 not or on what dates it was plugged in or even at this point on what dates it was removed.
 - Q. You mean when the property was renovated, the upper unit; right, is that what you're talking about?
 - Q. Okay. After you came back from your vacation February 21st or 22nd, again, I'm just approximating, and you found the refrigerator in the lower level -- I'm sorry -- the lower unit not working and the leaking on the floor, did you check the refrigerator in the upper unit?

57

- A. I don't recall whether I did or not. I think I had a pretty hard time with what was going on in the lower unit. I think that's what was my area of concern.
- Q. Were any other appliances in either unit besides the refrigerator affected upon your return from
 - A. Any other -- say that again, please.
- Q. Were there any other appliances in either unit that were affected upon your return from Hawaii besides the refrigerator?
- A. Yes. Clocks had to be reset on any device that 12 had -- it was blinking on the oven clock and on the -any devices that had clocks I had to reset.
 - Q. Okay. Was the dishwasher affected in the lower unit?
 - A. I don't know. I'm not a forensic electrical appliance specialist. I don't know. I know what had to be repaired immediately, but I don't know what else was affected other than I had to reset clocks that were blinkina.
 - Q. Okay. My question is was the dishwasher working upon your return from Hawaii; yes or no?
 - A. I believe it was, yes.
 - Q. Thank you. Was your stove -- your stove is gas; correct?

A. It had an electric display, and it had an electric cooktop. Wait. Let's see. The stove. Sorry. Your assumption or your statement is incorrect.

- Q. You mentioned gas stove earlier. If you want to clarify, go ahead.
- A. Let me clarify. Maybe I was thinking of the gas stove that is there now. At the time it was an electric cooktop.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

24

A. And I don't know, but I'm thinking that it was probably also an electric burner for the oven cavity. It was a glass cooktop. It was a slide in Samsung range, and I believe when -- later on we changed it to a 13 gas oven, gas cooktop with an electric display. 14

- 15 Q. Okay. Upon your return from Hawaii, was the 16 electric cooktop or electric oven affected?
 - A. Yes. The light -- the display was flashing.
- 18 Q. Okay. Was the -- did the electric cooktop or the oven, did they work upon your return from Hawaii? 20 Was their function affected?
- 21 A. I don't know exactly when I later tried to 22 determine that or not the next time was that I tried to 23 use that.
 - Q. So is it a fair statement that if the electric cook top or electric oven had been affected that would

have been part of your claim to PG&E besides the refrigerator?

MR. LAPPING: Are you asking him to speculate? BY MS. DODGE:

- Q. No. I'm asking him -- my question to him is was the electric cook top and/or electric oven, was it not working upon your return from Hawaii? Let me ask that question.
- A. It wasn't immediately apparent that it wasn't not working.
- Q. Okay. That's a double negative so it makes it very confusing.
- A. I was not aware that there was a problem other 14 than the electricity had caused it to start -- have a fluctuating display.
 - Q. So you're talking about the display was affected or it was flashing, but the function of the electric cooktop worked; correct?
 - A. I didn't immediately test it.
- 20 Q. Okay. It doesn't have to be right then, but 21 did it work at some point? I assume you cooked a meal 22 at some point after you got back from Hawaii; you were 23 living there.
- A. Yes. I wasn't aware of a reason at that point 25 in time to put it on any claim.

60

Q. To put what on any claim?

A. The oven range that you're asking the question about, whether the cooktop or the oven range however it's variously referred to.

Q. Right. The reason you didn't put it on a claim was because it still worked; correct?

A. Yes, but --

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

22

24

Q. Okay. Thank you. That's my question.

A. I didn't know there was any damage that was caused to it. It was functional so it wasn't part of the claim at that time.

Q. Okay. And what about the appliances upstairs? Was it an electric cooktop and oven in the upstairs unit?

A. I wasn't living up there, so I couldn't tell you.

Q. You said you -- you were the only one living at the property. You said you did go up to the upstairs 18 unit. At some point I'm assuming you went up to the upstairs unit when you returned from Hawaii to see if those appliances were working and functional; correct?

A. You're making an assumption that I don't have clear recollection of. I don't know whether I did or didn't. I don't know why I would immediately do that when I had problems to deal with elsewhere.

61

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. I would think if you were making a claim to PG&E you would want to include everything that you possibly attributed to -- I don't know why you're looking at your attorney. I'm asking you a question.

MR. LAPPING: Where is this question going? MS. DODGE: I haven't even asked the question yet.

MR. LAPPING: Ask the question.

BY MS. DODGE:

Q. Okay. I'm trying to. Did you ever check the appliances upstairs after you returned from Hawaii to see if they were working?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Did you ever make any attempt to rent out the upper unit from March of 2016 to July of 2019 prior to its renovation?

A. March of 2016? Sorry. Say that question 18 again.

Q. Did you ever make any attempt to rent out the upper unit from March of 2016 to July of 2019 prior to 20 21 its renovation?

A. I can't recall whether we did or we didn't. As 23 I said, things were in flux and I think there were a lot of discussions about a lot of different things, but I did not have any active advertising campaign for that and I don't think that's the direction we went in.

Q. How long did the renovation of the upper unit take?

A. I can't answer that question precisely, but I can tell you it was done sufficiently that I was able to move in in July of 2019.

Q. I'm asking for an estimate.

A. I'd have to research that for you.

Q. You're telling me today you cannot provide an 10 estimate of time for me?

I would be guessing.

Q. So, no, it's not a guess. It's an estimate.

A. Without reviewing records it would be a guess.

Q. Okay. In your responses you indicate that after you moved into the upper unit you tried to rent the lower unit from July of 2019 through May of 2020 and you provided some documents regarding inquiries that you had from some prospective tenants. Do you recall that?

MR. LAPPING: Yes, you recall.

MS. REPORTER: I can't hear you, Mr. Lapping.

MR. LAPPING: I'm trying to counsel the

22 deponent to limit his answers.

23 MS. DODGE: Thank you.

24 BY MS. DODGE:

Q. Were you ever successful in your attempt to

63

rent out Unit B, the lower unit between July of 2019 through May of 2020?

A. No is the answer.

Q. Okay. And why didn't you try to -- why were the efforts to rent out the lower unit stopped in May of

A. Because PG&E caused additional substantive damage that has made it very difficult.

Q. Difficult to try to rent out the lower unit?

A. You'll see when you visit.

Q. Okay. So that's your answer is that you stopped your efforts to rent out the unit after May of 2020 because there was additional damage that PG&E caused to the property in May of 2020?

A. Sorry. Let me -- I'm not sure.

Q. If you want to clarify --

A. Where do you come up to --

Q. -- my question -- hold on.

A. Which interrogatory are we on?

20 Q. We're not on an interrogatory, I'm just asking 21 you questions. Okay. My question is to you previously 22 was why did your efforts to rent out the lower unit stop 23 in May of 2020. Your answer was because PG&E caused additional damage to the property. So my question now is what additional damage are you referring to that made

64

it impossible to try to rent out the property after May of 2020?

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

11

12

16

17

18

21

23

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. I think you're aware of those damages as part of the aforementioned other two damages claims against PG&E that have been filed that you were representing PG&E for.

7 Q. Okay. I'm asking you to state -- again, we're not here on those claims, but we're talking about rental damages in this claim. And my understanding, and I want9 to clarify this too, in this claim, in your amended claim you're claiming two years of lost rents; correct? 11

A. I believe that's what is on the claim.

13 Q. Okay. What period of time are the two years 14 that you're calculating lost rents attributable to the 15 refrigeration claim?

A. You know, I provided all the dates and information to my attorney.

Q. Right, but I'm asking you.

19 A. Yeah. He made the calculations, so I think 20 you'll need to discuss it with him.

Q. Well, that's. Rich, can you provide some input 21 22 here because I'm really sort of lost. I don't 22 understand the two years. Is that between July 2019 to 23 May of 2020? Is that why those documents were provided:4 is that the lost rent you were attributing to the 25 25

65

refrigeration claim?

MR. LAPPING: That's not a two-year period.

MS. DODGE: I know it's not. That's why I'm confused.

MR. LAPPING: Well, he moved out in July of 2019.

MS. DODGE: To the upper unit.

MR. LAPPING: To the upper unit and tried to rent it. They were unable to rent it. I think that rounds it out to 2021/2022.

11 BY MS. DODGE:

Q. Okay. But he was saying that the efforts to rent the property after May of 2020 he stopped trying to 14 rent it because of the additional damages that are 15 related to his two other property damage claims. I'm just trying to get clarification on what two-year period you're attributing to this claim, this refrigeration claim?

MR. LAPPING: I'd have to go back and look.

MS. DODGE: Okay. If you can check on that and let me know that would be helpful.

THE WITNESS: If I can add something here too.

MS. DODGE: Okay.

THE WITNESS: I believe Rich has been conservative in those two years.

BY MS. DODGE:

2

3

6

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Okay. But I'm just reminding you we're here today, the deposition is with regard to the refrigerator claim not to the property damage claims. If you have other claims that are attributable to that, that would be handled in the state court action versus the refrigerator claim which is what we're here on today. Just to clarify. Okay?

Now, were you -- when you moved into the property, was your intent at some point to live in one unit and rent out the other; is that fair?

A. We discussed a range of possibilities. At some 13 point that became what we would do.

Q. Okay.

A. That was the idea that we would have a mortgage helper.

Q. Okay.

A. We discussed a myriad of possibilities as things have, you know, developed over time.

Q. All right. I would like to go to the documents you produced yesterday. This is binder -- I'm going to share my screen in a minute. This is Binder 6. I'm going to share my screen right now so you can refer to these documents.

A. Sure.

67

Q. Hold on. Okay. Can you see my screen?

A. Yeah.

Q. Okay. What I'd like to do is go through the documents you've produced here that were produced yesterday and talk about these e-mails that were exchanged between you and prospective tenants and ask you some questions about those. So the first one we have here is, let's see, a woman named Tara Glass. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. It looks like she inquired about the property, Unit B, in March of 2020. You exchanged some e-mails, it looks like, but Tara and Tony I think was her partner, they never moved into the property; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Why did they not move into the property? MR. LAPPING: Objection. Calls for

18 speculation.

19 BY MS. DODGE:

> Q. I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that. Did Tara and/or Tony ever tell you why they decided not to move into the property?

A. I believe there's something here, but as I indicated previously I'm not a mind reader, and I don't know the entirety of somebody's decisions. Tara states

some reasons here.

Q. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

A. I don't know whether those were the sum total of the reasons. Sometimes people are polite, sometimes they don't tell you everything. Sometimes they have private discussions.

- Q. Right. I understand all of that. It looks like in her e-mail here she talked about the job uncertainty, and this was when COVID was first happening, and so she wasn't sure. Again, I'm looking at document Bates Number TG223, and I think it goes on 11 to 224. Do you recall meeting Tara and Tony at the property?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. They toured the downstairs property, Unit B?
- 16
 - Q. Ultimately, they did not move in; correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
 - Q. Did either Tara or Tony ever tell you that the reason they decided not to move forward was because of20 the damaged flooring in Unit B?
 - A. No. As I answered in your interrogatories --
 - Q. My question is a yes or no answer, please. Miss Court Reporter, can you please read it

25 back to Mr. Greenberg.

69

(Record read.)

THE WITNESS: I don't recall that they ever specified that.

BY MS. DODGE:

- Q. Okay. Thank you. Moving on to the next page, TH225, this looks like somebody, a Johnny bush in Augus6 of 2019. Do you recall meeting him at the property?
 - A. Yes. I met him at the property numerous times.
 - Q. Did he ever move into the property?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did he tell you why?
- 12 A. He's a broker, and he looks at a lot of 13 properties. I don't know why.
 - Q. Let me ask you did John or Johnny, you referred to him as Bush, did he ever tell you that the reason he decided not to move forward with the property was because of damaged flooring in Unit B?
 - A. You know, I think he was -- I don't -- I don't think he was looking. I think you're misreading this. I think he was looking at it to show it as a commercial live/work space and suggesting that I advertise it on LoopNet. I think this was part of me looking for an additional way that it might be rented out or exposing the property to a wider market.
 - Q. Okay. This description that you have on this

Page TG225 to 226 of the property, is that a description that you wrote?

A. Let me read it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. I'm going to scroll up because it goes on to the next page.
- A. Thank you. Yes, it looks like my writing. I haven't read the whole thing, and this was from a long time ago.
- Q. Right. Is there any mention in that description of stained flooring in the kitchen?
- A. Would you advertise negatives? Pardon me for asking the question.
- Q. Your answer needs to be an answer, Mr. Greenberg. My question is pending. Does this description include a statement regarding stained flooring in the kitchen; yes or no?
- MR. LAPPING: Objection. The document speaks 18 for itself.

19 BY MS. DODGE:

> Q. I'm scrolling down to Zuhra, I believe. Z-u-h-r-a. TG227. This looks like it was January of 2020. It looks like they came by to look at the property and it looks like you said there I understand it's too small for you and you would like more light and you put her in touch with another realtor. Is that your

> > 71

recollection?

- A. As I'm reading it, again, as rich, Just indicated the document stands for itself. Are you asking --
- Q. I'm asking you if that's your recollection. Do you recall meeting her?
 - A. I met a lot of people.
 - Q. I'm asking if you met her, Mr. Greenberg?
- A. It looks like I met someone named Zuhra, if I wrote her a letter and thanked her for coming by to look at it. I couldn't tell you what she looked like right 12 now.
 - Q. I wasn't asking that. All right. I'm scrolling on to TG229. It looks like somebody named Faris and Brianna were interested in the property. Do you recall meeting either of them?
 - Q. This was January of 2020. It looks like they did submit an application to you; is that correct?
 - A. I believe they did.
 - Q. Did either Faris or Brianna move into the property?
- 23 A. No. They were a new couple and they had known each other for some time. This was the first time they were going to be living together, and they decided

72

against doing it ultimately.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

20

21

22

Q. Okay. Did either Faris or Brianna tell you that the reason they decided not to move into Unit B was 3 because of stained flooring in the kitchen?

A. I don't recall whether they specifically mentioned that, but they did say that they wanted to be able to do their own thing and there was a little too much going on there for them.

- Q. You mean, like, the area was too busy?
- A. No. Like there were too many things going on at the property.
- Q. Okay. Scrolling on. Okay. I'm on TG231. This is an e-mail from you to someone named Marysol 13 Solano in January of 2020. Did you ever meet Marysol at 14 15 the property?

A. I don't know. It looks like -- this looks like it was an inquiry where if we look at the dates -- could you go back and look at the dates for Faris and Brianna?

- Q. Looks like it was January 13th and 14th.
- A. Yeah, it looks like they predate and I had a good impression of them.
 - Q. Uh-huh.

A. As you indicated, I think I took an application from them and so she -- it looks like from reading this 24 that they were going to take it, that they said, hey, we

73

want it, but then they changed their mind.

Q. Okay. Right. It says if as a backup if the plans change I'm willing to show it to you and your friends. Did you ever end up showing it to Marysol and her friends?

A. I don't know. It's hard for me to answer some of these questions without asking you questions.

Q. I only -- Mr. Greenberg, I just got these documents late last night from your attorney. I'm not going to have any additional information on them that you don't have because you're the one that was participating. Let me continue on. Were you going to say something?

- A. Yeah. Let me try to illustrate.
- Q. What are you illustrating?
- 16 A. A little background for you on the rental 17 inquiry process.
 - Q. Okay. Is this --
- 19 A. There's many inquiries and many people.
 - Q. Right.
 - A. Many a time people don't get back to you, or they do get back to you, or their schedules change.
- 23 23 Q. Right. Okay. So I'm on Page TG235. This is an e-mail from you to someone named Adrianna in February 24 of 2020. Great to meet you earlier today. Let's move

on with the application process. Do you remember meeting Adrianna at the property?

- A. I do remember meeting her.
- Q. Did she submit an application?
- A. I believe she decided not to go forward.
- Q. Did she tell you why?

A. I don't -- I don't recall. Again, you're asking for --

MR. LAPPING: Stop.

10 BY MS. DODGE:

2

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

22

Q. There is an e-mail on TG236 from you to, it looks like, Lesley Perez regarding an inquiry. Do you recall if -- this is in February of 2020. Do you recall if Leslie ever came to the property, whether she scheduled a showing and came to the property?

A. No, I don't recall that. I don't recall that. It looks like she has a different last name than Perez.

Q. I wasn't okay looking at that. I was going off 19 the e-mail address. TG235, this is an e-mail from Lisa Sirabella to you, I'm assuming, at a Craigslist address. Let me see if there's additional information on the next page. No. Okay. So maybe that was just an inquiry. I 23 don't know if they -- do you recall meeting Lisa 24 Sirabella at the property?

A. Like I said, I met a lot of people.

75

- Q. It's just a yes or no.
- A. I don't specifically recall meeting her.

Q. Okay. That's fine. All right. We've got a few to go through. I'd just like to finish this and we can take a break. On Page TG238 there's an e-mail from you to somebody named Susan regarding an inquiry. Do you recall -- this was in February of 2020. Do you recall if Suzanne ever came to the property?

A. Pardon me. Back up a little bit. It's just off of --

- Q. Craigslist?
- A. Craigslist.
- Q. There's her e-mail down below.

14 A. Let's see. Yeah. This is a woman who I'm not 15 sure why they were relocating, but she's a horse 16 trainer.

- Q. Okay. Did she come to the property?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And did she submit an application?

A. I don't know whether she -- I don't recall 21 whether she submitted an application.

Q. Okay.

A. She was interested, but I don't recall why she didn't want it.

Q. Okay. That's fine. Again, I'm trying to move

through these. TG239. This is an e-mail from you to somebody named Robin. I enjoyed meeting and talking with you earlier. I don't know, something about help you provided Lana and Dylan and brother Scott. Did you meet Robin at the property?

- A. I believe she was another horse person.
- Q. Did she submit an application?
- A. No, I don't think she did.
- Q. Let's see. Okay. Moving on to -- this is somebody who just wanted the property for a few months10 This was in March. I think -- let me see. Let me put 12 this in context again. I'm on TG 240. I think it's 13 later on. I think you were looking for a one-year lease; correct? 14
 - A. Correct.

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. These look like your responses on TG241 16 and 242 to I don't know what inquiries or what platform this was, somebody named Kenner Gutierrez regarding an8 inquiry. And the next one was Angela regarding an 20 inquiry, both of these in March of 2020. Do you recall 21 if either Ken or Angela came to the property to see it?
 - A. No, I don't recall either of them specifically.
- Q. Okay. And then it looks like this is a copy of 23 24 the Tara and Tony e-mails we had before so we don't need4 to go over that again. TG246 somebody named Mauro Pe26z

made an inquiry on the property in March of 2020. Do you recall whether Mauro ever submitted an application

for the property?

A. No, I don't know whether he did or didn't. Like I said, a lot of people inquired. Some people came by. Some people didn't.

Q. Okay. That one was a shorter term. That was you saying you wanted one year. That was that series of 8 e-mails. I think that's a copy of the same. This is TG252 somebody named Lindsay Fisher regarding an inquorymemory for that. I recall receiving some various on the property. Do you recall -- this is in March of 2020. Do you recall whether you met Lindsay at the property?

A. I don't remember her.

Q. Okay. Next page, TG253, Edvin Chavez, same type of e-mail regarding an inquiry. Do you recall whether Edvin ever submitted an application for the property?

A. No, I don't recall Edvin particularly. There's 20 the explanation for Edvin. Message delivery with a lot of stuff. I guess his e-mail was bad. I don't know what was going on there.

Q. I don't want to go off on a tangent. I want to 23 finish moving through these. March 2020 somebody named Wyatt Walsh, again, inquiring about the property. Do

you recall ever meeting Wyatt at the property or him submitting an application?

A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

22

3

4

5

7

11

16

17

19

- Q. This looks like this is just discussion about how to draft the advertisement. Who is Corina Rollins.
- A. She runs the real estate department at College of Marin and she was my professor there.
 - Q. Okay.

A. And I've maintained a cordial relationship with her. She's been generous enough at various points in time to give me some counseling.

Q. Okay.

A. She's one of the first woman appraisers in California.

15 Q. How about this is Page TG259. Let me see if I can go down here. This is Scott Krienberg. I don't know if this is the same. Is it Scott and Matthew? I don't know if this is the same thing, or Janette. I'm confused. These are all together. This is July of 2019.

A. Yeah, it looks like it's got some generic e-mail. It's hard to tell what the reply is here. I'm not sure how he's got it loaded up whether they're single or separate e-mails.

Q. Here. If you look at TG259 and 260, there's a

79

July 1st, 2019, e-mail from Janette saying they're interested in renting, please call me or my husband Scott. It looks like below it there's an e-mail that looks like it's from Scott. Let me scroll down and see. There's a lot of reviews. Let me see if I can find a response to that one. Well, let me scroll back up to them. Do you recall whether Janette and/or Scott ever came to the property to view it?

A. You're going to have to try to refresh my introductory letters such as this.

12 Q. Right. If you look at the e-mail from Scott, 13 he says we are a family of five and I think I recall seeing somewhere in these documents that were produced that you responded back to somebody that the property was really too small for a family of five because it was 975 square feet --18

A. I think that was the feedback from the larger groups of people who saw it.

20 Q. Okay. Let me see if I can find that. That may 21 have been in relation to that one or something else, I'm 22 not sure. What's this e-mail in August of 2019 to **Chris -- Christopher Barrow at Foundation Homes?**

A. That's a professional leasing agent or real estate agent.

Q. Okay. That was your effort to try to get the property out there?

A. I tried every which way to rent it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

20

21

22

24

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 4 Q. Okay. So that's those e-mails. I was wondering whether there was something about a toaster 5 oven here. This was to somebody named Nancy who had @ friend that might have been interested in leasing the property. Do you recall if Nancy's friend ever came to 9 look at the property?
- A. No, Nancy's friend never did. It was a conversation I had with her, and I provided her the link and I never heard from her friend that I'm aware of.
- Q. This is about buying the toaster, I think, not the other. So I'm on Page Bates TG275. It looks like 14 15 this is an e-mail from you to comadog@comcast.net?
 - A. That's my parents, the trustees of the Greenberg Family Trust.
- Q. It's dated August 31, 2019. I'm going to blow 18 19 off the property right now. What did you mean by that?
 - A. The leaf blower.
 - Q. Got it. Get rid of the leaves?
- A. Correct. This is August, so it's starting to 23 be fall.
- Q. Right. Okay. You were kind of giving them a status update on your rental efforts; correct? 25

81

A. Yes.

Q. That's another description of the property. This here on Page TG276 you said bamboo flooring. Do you see that right here?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is that the flooring that was installed when you moved in --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- or was it already there?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is that a bamboo flooring or is that a laminate?
- A. There's a lot of different types of flooring. I'm not a flooring expert. I don't know whether you call it a laminate or bamboo flooring or what it's referred to.
 - Q. Okay. That's fine.
 - A. Okay.
- 19 Q. I'm on TG278. This is an e-mail from September 19 20 of 2019 from you to somebody named Peter and Colleen, 20 21 It was great to meet and talk with you two weekends ago.21 I think you're probably the best fit out of the 22 prospective tenants who have been interested, and you 24 talked about making some modifications, putting in a new24 steel vent hood and parking accommodations. Do you

recall meeting Peter and Colleen at the property?

A. Yes. I do.

2

3

11

12

13

14

17

19

20

24

25

1

5

6

7

10

11

16

17

18

- Q. Let me finish. Did they submit an application for the property?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. I don't have anything here from -- that follows up on that. Oh, I'm sorry. I do. Let me go down here. On Page TG279 there's an e-mail from Peter to you, I believe. Our feeling -- I'm looking at the top of this. Our feeling by looking at the place may be a bit small of our desires which has put us on the fence. Do you recall having any discussions about that?
- A. Yeah. Again, there's lots of things that are discussed --
- 15 Q. I'm talking just about the fact that it was too 16 small or did they just e-mail it's too small and they didn't submit an application?
- 18 A. We had phone conversations.
 - Q. Okav.
 - A. We were in active discussions.
- 21 Q. Okay. But they never moved into the property; 22 correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. This looks like a follow-up to his October 2nd e-mail. This is TG281 indicating you

83

dropped the rental price and you were following up with him and Colleen. Let me scroll down and see if there's any response from them to your October 6th e-mail. No, the next one is different. Do you recall getting any type of response to this October 6 e-mail you sent to Peter?

A. I don't know specifically, you know, on that. Like I said, we were in conversation on the phone and via e-mail and you can see here it says, note, PG&E's contractor is doing work in front this coming Thursday or Friday, so any lease would likely begin 11/1/2019.

12 Q. Okay. Did either Peter or Colleen tell you 13 that the reason they decided not to move forward with 14 renting Unit B was because there was damaged or stained 15 flooring in the kitchen?

A. I don't recall all of our conversation. I know that part of it was that PG&E had some obligations and was doing some work and part of it was timing and part of it was them weighing their options.

Q. Okay. Move to strike the last part of that answer as nonresponsive. I'm on Page TG284, this is an e-mail from Laura Gravenstein asking to set up a time to view the property in November 2019. Do you recall meeting Laura at the property? Let me scroll down and see if there's anything here. Oh, here. Okay. You

said you had a half hour. She wasn't available. I'll call tomorrow to set up a time. Do you recall if she ever came by the property to see it?

A. I don't know at this point. I can't recall. Some of these people I bounced around a lot with trying to schedule.

- Q. That's understandable. I'm on Page TG287, an e-mail from you to -- this is Victoria and Ellen at Marin Health. I think you were looking to put ads to the property somewhere at the hospital, maybe?
- A. Correct. We were hoping to post it in the doctors lounge.
 - Q. Did you do that?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. I believe they did. They told me they posted 15 it somewhere there.
 - Q. Do you recall if you got any calls back in response to those ads?
- A. You know, maybe you can go back and look at 19 what the posting was. I don't know if it referred people to Zillow or to Craigslist. I'm not sure if I would know.
 - Q. Let me see if it's on here. I think it's somewhere, but it may be somewhere else. If we come to23 it, we can revisit that question.

A. Yeah.

Q. What about here in December of 2019, a woman named Peach?

A. Yes.

- Q. And her partner -- I don't know what her partner's name is, Luke -- expressing interest in the property. Did they ever come visit the property?
 - A. Peach did, but he didn't.
- Q. Okay. Did she submit an application for the property?
- 10 A. I don't recall. Somehow -- I don't think she 11 did.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. I think she came and saw it and I don't think 14 he ever did.
 - Q. Did Peach ever tell you that she decided not to move forward with the property because of stained flooring in the kitchen in Unit B?
 - A. I don't recall what her parameters were.
 - Q. I don't know what this is. That was Adrianna. That was from before. Okay. Bounced back. I think this is just another -- yeah. Hold on. I'm not really sure why all this is included but there you go.

I'm on Page TG299, an e-mail from somebody named Kayla to you -- I'm sorry from you to Kayla in 24 June of 2019, I think, you were telling her it wasn't

big enough for a family of five and it required a one-year lease. Do you recall that?

A. So I think this was a leasing agent who made an inquiry.

Q. Here it is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

17

18

20

21

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Something similar to that.
- Q. Right here at the bottom of the page you basically said that's too small and we need a one-year lease, I believe; correct?
 - A. I said what I said on the document.
- Q. Right. Okay. This is Janette, but I think this is a copy of what we already had, Janette and 13 Scott, and we already talked about this. Yeah, we already -- this is a copy of what we already have. We went through this prior. Okay. We went through this. Again, this is a copy. Scroll through. I think here at 16 the very end this might be -- this is TG 318. Does this look like -- I think this was maybe the ad you put on the index cards to be posted at the hospital?
 - A. That looks like some version. There were multiple drafts to try to fit it into different sizes.
 - Q. Right. And then this last page, TG319, is an e-mail to somebody named Donato in March of 2020 regarding an inquiry. Do you recall whether Donato ever came to review the property?

87

- A. No, I don't recall Donato.
- Q. Okay. So I'd like to take a short break, but before we do, after reviewing those documents that you provided in, I think it was Binder 6 of the rental efforts, do you recall any prospective tenants for Unit B telling you the reason they decided not to move forward with Unit B was because of damaged flooring in the kitchen?

A. As I've answered multiple times, I have no all-knowing knowledge of what and how they made their decisions.

- Q. Right.
- A. There's lots of factors.
- Q. That's not my question. My question was and the reason I'm asking it now is because we've gone through all of those documents. Did any of the prospective tenants that you met at the property tell you that the reason they decided not to move forward with submitting an application or moving into the property was because of the damaged flooring in the kitchen; yes or no?

A. In part or on its own entirely? MS. DODGE: Can you read back my question, please, Miss Court Reporter.

(Record read.)

88

BY MS. DODGE:

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

20

21

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Can you answer, please?
- A. It's not a yes-or-no answer. I can't answer accurately yes or no.
 - Q. Why?
 - A. Because the answer is not specifically.
- Q. Not specifically. Okay. What do you mean by that?

A. As I answered you in the interrogatories, there is a whole set of factors that somebody considered on whether or not to move into a place and I don't know how they weighed things.

13 Q. Mr. Greenberg, you're not listening to my 14 14 question. My question is did any of the prospective 15 tenants tell you that the reason they decided not to 15 move forward with moving into Unit B was because of the 6 16 damaged flooring in the kitchen; yes or no? I'm not 17 asking about the tenants' thought processes, I'm asking 18 19 about what they conveyed to you whether or not that was 19 20 conveyed you to you; yes or no? 21

- A. Not solely.
 - Q. What does that mean not solely?

23 A. That means there was suggestion with numerous 24 tenants over what the living -- what the tenancy would entail and what it was going to be like living there and

89

what was going to -- how the spaces were going to be used, whether or not there was any future construction, what they could do with it, and the damages did get discussed and the PG&E work out front did get discussed with the people who were serious.

Q. I'm not asking about that work. I'm asking solely about the flooring in the kitchen.

A. And I'm saying that was a discussion point with some people, and I don't know what was in their mind. I did not.

Q. I didn't ask you what was in their mind, did I? 11 12 12 I asked you what they told you. I didn't ask you to try to predict what's in their mind. I asked you what they 13 told you. Did any of the prospective tenants tell you 14 that the reason they decided not to move into Unit B was 15 because of damaged flooring in the kitchen? If you 16 17 refuse to answer my question, I'll just mark it and we'll have to file a motion to compel you to answer. 18

A. I've answered the question. I've said not specifically.

- Q. It's a yes-or-no question.
- A. Can you rephrase the question, please?
- Q. No. That's my question. I've asked it five different times now and you're refusing to answer.
 - A. I'm trying to do my best to answer the

question.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. No. You're answering all around it and you're not answering my question. Let's take a break and you can think about it and decide if you want to answer my question, and then we'll come back and we'll resume the deposition. Let's take a 10-minute break. Let's come back at 3:30. Okay?

(Recess taken.)

9 BY MS. DODGE:

> Q. Mr. Greenberg, do you have any type of followup response to the last question or would you like to clarify; otherwise, we'll move on?

- A. I believe the answer is no.
- Q. Thank you. When the property was purchased, was there -- it was purchased by the Greenberg Family Trust: correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Did the trust take out a mortgage for the
- A. Rich, do we have to get into our finances? MR. LAPPING: Yeah. Counsel, what's the relevance of that?

MS. DODGE: I want to ask about the rent and what the agreement was at the beginning of the ownership of the property.

MR. LAPPING: I think he indicated there was a mortgage earlier.

> MS. DODGE: No, I've never -- no, he hasn't. MR. LAPPING: Okay. Well --

BY MS. DODGE:

- Q. Was the Greenberg Family Trust paying the mortgage on the property?
 - A. Are we asking about the rent or the mortgage?
- Q. The mortgage as I said. The mortgage on the 10 property.
 - A. The house was purchased in cash.
 - Q. Okay. So there was no mortgage?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. So what was the agreement you had with your parents or the Greenberg Family Trust regarding paying rent on either unit, you yourself paying rent?

A. Sure. The agreement was that we would buy the place and evaluate and then I would pay rent in one of the units and we would rent out the other one as a "mortgage helper" so to speak.

- Q. Even though there was no mortgage?
- A. Do you know -- I don't want to ask you a question, but you laugh at it.
- Q. I don't laugh at it. I guess -- again, I don't need to know all the financial background. I just

92

wanted to know was there an agreement like you would occupy one unit and pay rent and then try to rent out the other unit and act as landlord or that unit?

- A. Ultimately, that's what we decided to do.
- Q. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

A. But you're likely aware from media readings and perhaps -- I don't want to speculate on anything but it's been a very, very challenging real estate market for some time, and if you want to get a place you typically need to make one of the best offers and ideally make a cash offer. It's gotten worse and worse over time where people have to have no contingencies and it's a very challenging market.

- Q. You're talking about the purchase of the 15 property, not the rental of the property after purchase?
 - A. That's what you were talking about.
- Q. Yes, initially. Then I was moving into the agreement after the property was purchased. Yes, I understand it's a challenging real estate market. It's the same everywhere in California because there's just a shortage of property. Let me ask you. In your 22 interrogatories -- so when you were living -- you had originally moved into the lower unit, but from November of 2015 to June of 2019 were you paying any rent while 25 you were living in that unit?

93

- A. I don't believe I paid any rent while I was in that unit.
- Q. Okay. But then you started paying rent when you moved into the upstairs unit; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Why did you start paying rent then but not before?

A. We were formalizing -- we were -- I can't tell you everything that was going on at the time, but basically I had been doing multiple duties and once one of the units was ready to rent I was no longer going to 12 have to do some of these duties.

- Q. So you were in charge of trying to renovate the 14 property, during that time basically what would have been your rent was waived?
 - A. No. I don't know if I agreed to that entirely. I had obligations to them. It's just we had many complications with the property that made it difficult.
 - Q. When you say "obligations to them," are you talking about your parents?
 - A. To the trust and my parents as trustees.
- Q. Right. Okay. Just to be clear, you've never 23 advertised the upper unit, Unit A is the upper unit; is that right?
 - A. No -- yes. Pardon me.

Q. Unit A where you're living now, that's never been advertised for rent at all; correct?

- A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. Okay. And then B which is the lower unit was advertised for rent from July of -- no. Let me get my dates right July of 2019 through May of 2020; correct?
- A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And then you said the reason you kind of stopped -- did you pull the active listings for the rent in May of 2020? Were you no longer advertising the lower unit for rent at that time?
 - A. I progressively pulled them.
- Q. Okay.

14 A. Once the damages were sufficient that it 15 couldn't be rented.

- Q. Are you talking about damages, the other property damages?
- A. That PG&E caused and PG&E's contractors caused.
- Q. You're talking about the outside of the property, not the interior; correct?
- 21 A. The driveway, sidewalk, and the entry area.
- 22 Q. Okay. I want to go back to your 23 interrogatories. Do you have those there?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Your interrogatory -- your response to Number

3, you said that there was uncertainty as to when you would need to move out so that repairs could be performed on the lower unit during the anticipated three- to six-month time period of repairs. What repairs are you referring to there?

- A. I'm referring to the flooring repairs.
- Q. So who gave you that estimate for replacing the floors, three to six months?

A. I have submitted, I think, at least two flooring estimates, one of which talks about six to eight weeks. One of the contractors I talked to led me to believe that it would be three or four months. Since 13 there is --

- Q. Do these talk about -- I'm sorry. Go ahead. I apologize.
- A. So whatever time frame there is there's likely a month or two on either side.
- Q. Right. The estimates you're referring to are the ones I have from City Carpets, I'm looking at TG 157; and I think the other one was filed as Exhibit 3 to **Document Number 11992 filed in the bankruptcy** proceeding. The City Carpets one dated March 24th, 2021, says that it will take six to eight weeks to get the material, and then the City Carpets estimate that I think that you just got -- looks like yesterday -- says

it will take eight to ten weeks to get the material. Are you including that eight-to-ten week lead time to get the material in the three- to six-month time period for repairs?

A. I don't know how that was calculated other than it was based upon what they indicated to us. I think some of our conversations were from the time that we were ready to go it would take two to three months to get this going and then we calculated that after they were done there would be clean up and then we would have to rent it, so I think that's how that came to be.

- Q. So the three to six months, was something that that was your estimate; correct?
 - A. Based upon their input, correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- Q. Okay. Now, continuing on in your response to Interrogatory Number 3, you said that you decided to 16 renovate the upper unit with the agreement that you would be obligated to pay full market rate of \$2,500 per month and would try to rent the lower unit for \$3,500 per month. Did you ever pay \$2,500 per month while you 20 were in the -- during the time you've been in the upper unit?
- A. No. As we stated elsewhere that was the plan 24 but because of the damages and because of the condition 25 we renegotiated that.

97

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Now, you renegotiated that with the trust?
- A. Correct, with my parents.
- Q. Okay. Are you saying the initial agreement with the trust was that you would move into the upper unit and pay the full market rate?
- A. That was the idea: I wouldn't be caught up spending my life dealing with damages that PG&E and PG&E 7 contractors caused.
- Q. Right. But what I'm asking is the initial agreement was that you were going to be paying \$2,500 a10 month for that upper one bed/one bath unit that you're in now; correct?
 - A. Yes. Initially I was there --
- Q. You've answered. You've answered elsewhere I believe in response to Number 4 -- actually, in the end of your response to Number 3 you said you paid an \$800-a-month rent in July of 2019 and then if you follow on to your response to Number 4 you said that you paid that \$800 a month from July of 2019 until September of 2020; is that correct?
 - A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. And in that, your response to Number 4, you 23 said that you paid that reduced rent during that time period until large repair expenses and COVID income limitations exhausted your funds. What large repair

expenses are you referring to there?

- A. All that you're aware of, all the damages that PG&E caused that are still not remedied.
- Q. You said until the large repair expenses, so that indicates to me that you were paying something. It wasn't that you were unable to pay rent?
- A. I've contributed a lot of money and labor trying to get this fixed not to mention personal time.
- Q. Okay. What are you referring to with COVID income limitations?

A. I think everybody had -- not everybody, but many people were challenged during COVID by not being able to circulate with people, not meet with people the way they could before.

- 15 Q. Right. But you were talking using -- you have 16 that as a reason why you stopped paying rent in September of 2020. I don't understand how that -- what do you mean you couldn't meet with people?
 - A. Oh, if your funds -- my funds became exhausted.
 - Q. Right.
 - A. I couldn't go meet during shelter-in-place lockdowns and everyone worried about dying.
 - Q. Right. You couldn't meet what? I don't follow.
 - A. It wasn't easy to go meet with people, much

99

less do many things.

- Q. Are you talking about meeting with people to show them the property?
- A. No. I'm talking about other income, and I'm talking about other income possibilities in combination with what became a huge set of responsibilities at the property that is an ongoing thing that I'm taking up my time today on.
- Q. Okay. All right. Okay. In your response to Number 5 you talk about the fact that there's a shared laundry utility room that both the upper and lower units utilize; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And where is that located? Is it inside the lower unit but it's accessed from the outside or something in-house it is accessed?
- A. Correct. It is located -- you've asked multiple questions. It's located at the left front of the property adjacent to the staircase which goes to the upper unit.
 - Q. Okay.

22 A. It has a separate door to it. There is a 23 passage door that remains locked that could be unlocked to the lower unit but one needs to walk out from the lower unit to use the laundry.

100

Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

21

22

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

16

17

18

25

- A. And one needs to walk out from the upper unit to use the laundry.
- Q. Okay. When you moved into the -- when the property was purchased in 2015 was there a washer and dryer already there?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And has that been replaced?
- Q. Okay. Did either -- I mean, when you were living in the lower unit -- and now, I guess, in the upper unit -- have you used that washer and dryer for washing your clothes and drying your clothes?
- A. Yes, they're shared between the upper and lower 15 unit.
- 16 Q. And in February of 2016 was there any damage to 17 either the washer or the dryer?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 19 Q. You've answered. Interrogatory Number 8, it 20 asks you about the repairs to the refrigerator. You 20 have December 17th, 2015. No water dispensing, referred 1 22 to the service center. You can't recall what the fix 23 was. Did you have to call a technician for that? 23
- 24 A. No. They told me something over the phone. I 24 pushed some buttons or something and looked at something 25 25

101

and all of a sudden it was working again.

- Q. Okay. And you have March 2nd, 2016. This is the incident in question here; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. So is March 2nd -- I was a little confused on the date. I thought you returned on the 21st?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. The service, the date of the Appliance Doctor Receipt is dated 2/25/16. Did you have a subsequent problem with the refrigerator?
- A. The tech came out, he diagnosed what the issue 13 was, and then he had to order a part that took a number 14 of weeks to get. At some point in time it appears that 15 I must have called LG to make my own inquiry.
 - Q. You called LG?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. Where does it say that?
- 19 A. LG Service Center is who I've called for 20 technical support.
- 21 Q. The service tech, is that who you're referring 22 to in that response, the LG service tech?
- 23 A. Correct. These are calls to the LG Service 24 Center or to LG Customer Support.
 - Q. Okay. And then -- how did you get in touch

with the Appliance Repair Doctor; were you put in contact with them by LG?

- A. No. By Fidelity Home Warranty Company.
- Q. Okay. I'm a little confused. Your response states that the service tech had to order a replacement starter relay and returned a couple of weeks later to replace the starter relay. So I was just trying to determine how that fits in with the Appliance Repair Doctor receipt and who came out to do the -- who came 10 out to fix the starter relay?
 - A. As indicated before, who came out to repair this was the Appliance Doctor person.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

17

18

20

22

23

24

- A. And I see what you're asking now.
- Q. See where it says the service tech in the first sentence?
- A. Yeah. I think I got these dates -- I don't know specifically on how these dates come up, but I think these dates came up from speaking to LG when I spoke to LG. I may have put my notes in here on what it was about.
- Q. Okay. Did the service -- the technician from Appliance Repair Doctor came out to replace the starter relay; correct?
 - A. Correct.

103

- Q. Okay. Did -- it says technician was
- Dr. Garranza?
- A. I would have to look at that again.
- Q. I'm just looking at the receipt. Did the technician that came out from the Appliance Repair Doctor give you any opinion as to what had caused the damage to the starter relay?
- A. Yes. He indicated that the compressor relay was -- he showed it to me -- was burnt. He says this is 10 from an overload.
 - Q. You're talking about the technician from **Appliance Repair Doctor; correct?**
 - A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Okay. Did you have the refrigerator in the lower unit, the one we're talking about, the LG, did you 16 have it plugged into a power surge strip?

 - Q. It was plugged directly into the wall?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And was there any type of -- I'm 21 assuming -- did the technician have to pull the refrigerator out to access the back of the refrigerator?
 - A. He did.
 - Q. And was there any type of markings at the plug where the refrigerator was plugged into? Any type of

104

burnt marks at the outlet itself?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

17

18

20

21

22

24

- A. No. not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Okay. All right. So let me finish here. Back on your response to Number 8, December of 2017, replaced water line connector, small leak, and then it looked like that same leak reoccurred in January of 2018, and you ordered some connector parts. Did you fix that leak vourself?
- A. Either I did or a handy person did. I don't recall at this point.
- Q. Then June was a water filter light. Okay. Since June of 2019, have you had any issues with the refrigerator?
- A. None. It's working fine today, other than the items that got broken as we pulled things out to clean it.
- Q. What items are you talking about, the refrigerator itself?
- A. Yeah, you noted this before. You made some 20 comment, not today but in one of your filings.
- 21 Q. Oh, about the reference in the Appliance Repair 22 Doctor where it says shelves, crispers, and rails 23 broken?
 - A. Correct. Everything stunk to high heaven and it still has some smell to this day.

105

Q. What does that have to do with the shelves and Crispers, and railing being broken?

- A. So have you ever -- I apologize for not -- by asking you questions. I don't understand when you ask some of these questions.
- Q. Are you making a claim that these items, the shelves, the crispers in the refrigerator were broken because of the -- because of what happened with the refrigerator?
- A. Some of these broke when I took them out to clean them to try to clean the refrigerator.
- Q. Okay. Interrogatory Number 13 asks you to describe all electrical work and repair that's been performed in the units at the property. You talked about the refrigerator and you talked about the renovation of the upper unit. Has there been any type of electrical rewiring in either unit at the property?
- A. Yes. Renovating an upper unit requires that. 19 There's all kinds of --
 - Q. It was completely rewired when it was renovated, that upstairs unit?
- A. I believe so. This was all after the damages 23 occurred.
 - Q. Okay. I would like to move on to I would like to turn to Request for Admission and your responses to

that. Do you have those there?

A. Yes.

2

3

7

8

9

11

12

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

23

- Q. Okay. I believe in the documents you produced, again, I think in RFA 9 I was asking about how old the refrigerator was. You said you purchased it used. You purchased it for, I believe the document stated \$200; correct?
- A. That's what the documents show. I don't know exactly what I paid for it. I'm thinking that's 10 probably what I paid for it.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Plus my gas, plus my bridge toll, plus any 13 labor I paid.
- 14 Q. Request for Admission Number 12 asks excluding 15 the refrigerator, no electrical items were affected by at the property between February 12 and February 22. 16 You said denied, and you talked about the clocks having 18 to be reset.

I think we've addressed the other electrical items at the property during that time. Neither the washer nor the dryer was damaged during that time period; correct, they both worked properly when you got 23 home from your trip?

- A. Correct.
- Q. I think you said the refrigerator upstairs

107

you're not sure about, but you didn't have any indication that it wasn't not -- that's a double negative. That there was -- you didn't have any indication after you returned from your trip that there was any problem or issue with the refrigerator upstairs; correct?

A. I answered somewhat differently. I said I don't know. I don't know whether it was plugged in. I don't know whether it was in use.

Q. Okay.

A. I don't recall whether there was any issue, but there's no issue that I'm aware of.

- 13 Q. Okay. And I think you had already testified 14 about the electric cooktop and the stove in the lower unit. Other than the time flashing, those both functioned properly after you returned from your trip;
 - A. Yes. They were later replaced.
 - Q. Right.
 - A. The cooktop later cracked.
- 21 Q. But it functioned properly after you returned 22 from your trip; correct?
 - A. Sure. As I said, I'm not a forensic electrical analyzing person, so I don't know what damage was caused when. My claim is only for what was clear that it's the

refrigerator.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Q. Okay. In Request for Admission 13 through 15 asks, it says you do not possess any evidence that an electrical power outage damaged the refrigerator. 14 asks about a power surge and 15 discusses a power fluctuation. You denied all of those and said you have evidence that an outage, surge, and fluctuation damaged 7 the starter relay of the refrigerator.

What evidence are you referring to there?

- A. I'm going to defer to Rich to answer this.
- Q. You can't defer to your attorney. These are your responses.
 - A. But these are legal type things -- no.
- Q. No, it's not legal. This is just asking you that it's -- it says you have evidence that an outage, surge, or fluctuation damaged your refrigerator. I'm asking you what damage that is you're referring to --I'm sorry not damage, what evidence?

MR. LAPPING: Maybe ask him why he thinks that an electrical surge damaged his refrigerator and then he'll explain.

BY MS. DODGE: 22

Q. Okay. Go ahead.

A. I'm sorry. What is your question?

MS. DODGE: I think your lawyer just --

109

MR. LAPPING: Why did you think the electrical surge caused damage to the refrigerator?

THE WITNESS: Is that the question you wanted me to answer?

MS. DODGE: Okay. Could the reporter read that question back, please?

(Record read.)

THE WITNESS: I was told by the refrigerator repairman that -- he showed me the starter relay that was evidently burnt. It had burn marks on it, and he told me that it is there to protect the compressor, that it is a cheaper -- the element that is a sacrificial element to protect the compressor. He told me and then we did research online, and I've provided an eHow article that states that that is the number one cause of starter relay failure. So I've been told by people in the field and I've been told in print on multiple areas that that is the cause. There is visible evidence of this component, that is there by design to protect the compressor, as having been burnt. BY MS. DODGE:

Q. Okay. Did you take any photos of the burned 23 starter relay?

A. I would refer you back to the original claim. There may be a photo there.

Q. I did not see one. I'll represent to you that I have not seen one.

A. Okay. I'm not sure. I think there may be one, but I haven't looked at one in quite some time but I thought that was part of the original submissions.

- Q. Okay. Just in terms of the reference you're talking -- the articles you've read, the eHow excerpt that you attached with your claim, I believe that was part of one of your binders as well. You said that's the number one cause. I don't believe that's how the article represents it.
 - A. Would you read it?
- 13 Q. Yeah. I'd like to take a look at it. Let me 14 get it. Hold on. Let me share my screen. Can you see 15 my screen?
 - A. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

11

12

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. So this is the -- I think this is in binder two, it's TW176, and this is a -- this is from eHow, about what causes a failed refrigerator relay to overload and melt from eHow. It says these relays will fail or they will be tripped and will melt. This can happen for a variety of reasons but there are a few that are fairly common. Listed below there's electrical surge.

A. In the number one position.

111

- Q. Motor failure, troubleshooting, and resetting. I don't see anywhere where it says electrical surge is the number one cause. I'm not sure why you're characterizing it that way. It simply states there are a few that are fairly common and electrical surge and motor failure are both listed there. Are you finding that from something else?
 - A. That's in the number one position.
- Q. So you're just assuming because it's listed 10 first that's the number one cause?

A. It's in combination from this and other 12 discussions or readings that I have had. I understood this to be the number one cause, but --

- 14 Q. Right. The first sentence of that states, 15 where it's highlighted, an electrical surge can be one 16 reason that a relay will fail and overload.
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. It doesn't say it's the number one reason.
- 19
 - Q. I didn't know if you're getting that from somewhere else, but I want to make clear what the pages are you actually submitted.
 - A. I would have to, you know, try to go back and understand how I came to understand it was the number one cause, but it is in the number one position and I

112

2

3

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

113

was told by the tech that it was an overloaded burnt refrigerator starter compressor relay, and it did look

Q. Okay. Let me stop the share here. Okay. Let's move on to RFA 16 through 19. These ask about evidence that PG&E damaged the refrigerator, that PG&E 6 breached duty of care in connection with your claim, or that PG&E was negligent. In response to all of these you said that you have evidence that the negligent actions of PG&E or its contractors, agents, or employee\$10 damaged the starter relay in which turn led to the 12 refrigerator to stop working. What negligent actions are you referring to in those responses? What are you 13 claiming PG&E did that was negligent to cause the damage?

A. So this is the sum total of a lot of different evidence.

Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

A. Despite what you've said that there was no work going on next door, PG&E's contractor Veteran Power was working next door and PG&E was working next door for months. You have not yet provided us with the daily work records that the judge has ordered you to provide all records.

Q. This is not my question. My question is what

actions of PG&E are you claiming are negligent? What did PG&E do that was negligent?

MR. LAPPING: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

BY MS. DODGE:

Q. You're saying that PG&E was working next door or its subcontractor was working next door, but what specifically are you claiming they did that was negligent to entitle you to recover damages?

MR. LAPPING: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

MS. DODGE: You can answer.

MR. LAPPING: You can answer.

THE WITNESS: There is discussion in correspondence, PG&E correspondence that you have not provided to us that we obtained through great effort from the town of Fairfax, and by having to subpoena Veteran Power, the PG&E contractor of record for the large multimonth electrical project that was going on next door.

21 BY MS. DODGE:

Q. Okay.

23 A. There are many things in those documents that indicate that there were problems with the work, that 24 there were lines cut by accident, that there were

unmarked lines, that fuses blew, that there were outages. There is a lot of discussion about issues. They had to redesign this project twice, at least twice. There is an e-mail that states, hey, we were supposed to have this hotted up on a certain date. Because of the outage we're not going to be able to do that. So there's an indication there were many problems, unmarked utilities and things cut there and outages and blown fuses and transformers and switches and this and that replaced, and that there was -- they didn't know what

Q. So that's the basis for your claim that PG&E was negligent is what you just relayed to me?

properties would be affected by even the planned

outages, much less the unplanned ones.

MR. LAPPING: Objection. You're asking him for a legal conclusion.

MS. DODGE: I'm just asking him to clarify if that's what the basis was.

MR. LAPPING: It's a continuing objection. BY MS. DODGE:

Q. That's fine. You can answer.

A. I'm saying there is a lot of evidence that there is a lot of work going on there and that there was work going on there despite what you've told us during the time period in question, and both before and after.

115

And that PG&E cut lines that they didn't know what they were and caused damages.

Q. They cut lines. What type of lines?

A. Electrical lines.

Q. To your property?

A. I don't know. But in close proximity that affected unknown meters in close proximity, and that fuses were blown and that people around town had a lot of difficulty, and the contractor and PG&E had difficulty with this job.

Q. Okay. But how does that affect your property?

A. I just explained.

Q. You just said people around town had trouble.

A. Let me connect the dots for you a little bit.

Q. Please.

A. In one of the two electrical plans that you have provided in your incomplete documents that you've provided, in the notes section it describes a number of properties that would be affected by this work next door. That includes 47 Bolinas. By my read of it, and I'm not an electrical designer or planner or any of that, it indicates it was to be moved -- a bunch of these were to be moved from one circuit to another circuit. So that means that these properties, these meters were clearly part of the work or affected by the

116

of supply and interruption of delivery. That first

work going on next door at the large electrical project that PG&E was in charge of for 2931 Bolinas that involved an installation of three phase power for a new restaurant that was yet to be opened.

Q. Okay. So that's the basis what you just described?

MR. LAPPING: Again, same objection. All this is asking for a legal conclusion.

BY MS. DODGE:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21

24

25

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

16

17

18

21

22

24

Q. I'll just let that stand on its own. In your response to RFA 20 about the damage to the flooring, youl 1 talk about Dustin Perkins acknowledged that the industry12 and insurance standard was line of sight and not limited 13 to the kitchen area. Did he explain to you what line of sight means?

A. Yes.

Q. What did he tell you?

A. It means anywhere that the eye -- this is my 19 recollection of what he said he --

Q. Right.

A. It may be a combination of what he said and 22 what the different flooring estimators said, but I'm 23 going to try as best to give you my understanding.

Q. That's fine.

A. As I understand it, in part with his

117

2

3

5

7

9

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

25

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

explanation, line of sight is where the eye travels where the flooring is the same type of flooring. That if you have to change one part of it and you can't match it exactly if it's not available that you need to match all of it so that it is the same if it was the same before.

Q. Okay. That makes sense. Thank you for the explanation. RFAs 26 through 30, these ask about Tariff Rule 14 and Tariff Rule 2C. Are you familiar with those rules? Have you read them?

A. I've seen them. I know them by name. I haven't memorized them.

Q. Okay.

A. And I'm not a legal expert. I'd leave this for 15 the judge to decide.

Q. Okay. Okay. I'm going to share my screen. I want to attach this Electric Rule Number 14 as Exhibit A to the deposition. I'm going to share my screen here.

19 Can you see my screen Mr. Greenberg? 20

A. Yes, with some highlights on it. (Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit A was marked for identification.)

23 BY MS. DODGE:

> Q. Yes. So in that first paragraph it discusses -- well, Electric Rule Number 14 is shortage

paragraph talks about PG&E exercising reasonable diligence and care to furnish and deliver a continuous and sufficient supply of electrical energy but does not guarantee continuity or sufficiency of supply and that PG&E will not be liable for interruption or shortage or insufficiency of supply if it's caused by inevitable accident, act of God, fire, strikes, riots, war any other cause, except that arising from its failure to exercise reasonable diligence. Have you read that paragraph before?

A. I believe I've seen it, yes.

Q. Okay. I'm moving down to the third paragraph, the part that's highlighted where it talks about PG&E shall not be liable to any customer for any damage or loss resulting from interruption due to transmission constraint, allocation of transmission or intertie capacity, or other transmission outage planned or unplanned. Do you see that?

A. I'm sorry. I don't see planned or unplanned.

21 Q. I'm on the third paragraph.

A. I was looking at the fourth. Pardon me.

23 Q. It's highlighted.

A. Okay. I've read it.

Q. The next paragraph, the fourth paragraph that's

highlighted, and then the Number 4 that's highlighted there, that PG&E maintains the right to interrupt its service deliveries without liability to the customer when in PG&E's sole opinion such interruption is necessary for reasons including but not limited to the following, and four states maintenance, improvements, repairs, or expansion of PG&E's distribution system. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And the work that was being done at 31 Bolinas, would you agree that it would call into category four, maintenance, improvements, repairs, or expansion of PG&E's distribution system?

MR. LAPPING: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

MS. DODGE: You can answer.

MR. LAPPING: And it assumes PG&E knows that it made that surge that is now asking him if it's covered by this tariff.

MS. DODGE: No. What I'm asking him is if the work done at 31 Bolinas would fall into that category.

MR. LAPPING: That calls for a legal 23 conclusion. You mean with the paragraph that starts before the numbered list and the Number 4 or just number 25

120

MS. DODGE: Yeah. maintenance, improvements, repairs, or expansion of 2 2 MR. LAPPING: -- by itself. PG&E's distribution system. 3 THE WITNESS: You know, I'm not expert 3 MS. DODGE: I'm asking him if he understands the work at 31 Bolinas to be encompassed with what's 4 whatsoever, so I can't answer that question. I mean, is 4 5 there a definition of all these terms? 5 listed in Number 4, maintenance, improvements, repairs, 6 or expansion of PG&E's distribution system. 6 BY MS. DODGE: 7 MR. LAPPING: Again, calls for a legal 7 Q. Hold on a minute. You explained to me just 8 conclusion. 8 about five or ten minutes ago that PG&E -- your 9 MS. DODGE: You can answer. understanding of what was happening at 31 Bolinas is 10 THE WITNESS: I don't agree with much of what that PG&E was upgrading the power there to be -- I can't remember if you said three something power; right? You 11 you're contending or saying. 11 12 explained to me what your understanding was that they 12 BY MS. DODGE: 13 Q. Okay. But did you listen to what my question 13 were up upgrading the power at 31 Bolinas; correct? 14 A. Yes. They had a new tenant moving in. The 14 was? 15 A. You asked me if I agree. 15 power requirements of that restaurant apparently 16 16 required some type of "three phase power." Q. I asked you if the work that PG&E or its 17 subcontractor was doing at 31 Bolinas would fall within 17 Q. Yes. category four in Rule 14. Do you have an answer? 18 A. And redesign of all the other type things 18 19 MR. LAPPING: What difference does it make what 19 there. 20 20 he thinks about that? He's not an expert. He's not a Q. Right. So my question is is it your 21 21 lawyer. This is why you have this objection. I don't understanding that that work being done there as you've 22 think it's going to lead to any admissible evidence. described it would fit within the terms that are listed 22 23 23 MS. DODGE: Well, I mean, that's your here in Number 4? 24 contention, but I can ask him if that's his 24 MR. LAPPING: Continuing objection. Calls for 25 a legal conclusion, but you can go ahead and answer it. 25 understanding or not. 121 123 MR. LAPPING: I am unclear whether you're 1 THE WITNESS: I don't know whether I can say 1 2 2 asking him about -that it's in this bucket or not. It looks like it's in 3 MS. DODGE: I'm asking him for his 3 this bucket. 4 understanding. I'm not asking for a legal conclusion. 4 BY MS. DODGE: 5 Q. I'm just asking for your understanding. 5 The tariffs here are not drafted so they need to be interpreted by an expert. The tariffs are drafted so 6 MR. LAPPING: He's saying he doesn't have an 6 7 they can be interpreted and read by consumers of 7 understanding. 8 8 electricity. MS. DODGE: Well, all right. That's fine. 9 MR. LAPPING: Okay. Are you asking him whether 9 You've answered. 10 he believes that in PG&E's sole opinion such Miss Court Reporter, I'll give you a copy of 10 11 interruption is necessary? 11 that to attach as Exhibit A. 12 12 MS. DODGE: No. I've stated that three times I'm going to switch now to Electric Rule Number now. I asked him, my question specifically was would 13 2. Description of service. I'd like to attach this as 13 Exhibit B. 14 you agree that the work being done by PG&E or its 14 15 contractors or subcontractor at 31 Bolinas Road falls 15 (Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit B was within the what's listed in Number 4, maintenance, 16 marked for identification.) 16 17 improvement, repairs, or expansion of PG&E's 17 BY MS. DODGE: 18 distribution system. 18 Q. You can still see my screen; correct? 19 19 MR. LAPPING: Limited like that, you can go A. Yes. 20 ahead and answer. 20 Q. And here on Sheet 5 under C, voltage and 21 MS. DODGE: That's what I asked him. 21 frequency control. Do you see there under 1, customer 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. So the final question now 22 service voltages and exceptions to voltage limits in B, 23 did the --23 that voltage may be outside the limits specified when 24 the variations, and 2 talks about momentary 24 MR. LAPPING: She's asking whether the work

25

fluctuations, 3 talks about service interruptions, 4

124

122

that was being done by Veteran Power constituted

2

3

4

5

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

16

21

22

24

125

talks about separation of parts of the system from the main system; and 5 is beyond the causes beyond the control of PG&E. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

Q. In C it states that it must be recognized that because of conditions beyond the control of PG&E or customer or both there will be infrequent and limited periods when sustained voltages outside of the service voltage ranges will occur.

Do you have an understanding as to what PG&E's obligations are to you as a customer with regard to the delivery of electricity? Again, I'm not asking for a legal opinion, I'm asking for your understanding as a PG&E customer?

A. As a layperson I have some understanding and expectation of what the parameters are, and I have quite a lot of disappointment in my area because of what goes on there.

Q. When you say "disappointment in your area," what do you mean?

A. There's been a lot of outages in my area and, in fact, the work in question that we're discussing dramatically affected the whole town when streets were shut down and merchants lost a lot of business.

Q. Okay. Right. But right now we're talking just

about your property and your claim regarding the refrigerator and claimed damages. I've scrolled down here on Exhibit B, Electric Rule Number 2, Sheet 6, where it talks about customer service voltages where it's continued under E. Do you see that on the screen? Let me make it a little bit larger.

E states that where the operation of the applicant's equipment requires unusually stable voltage regulation, the applicant at his own expense is responsible for installing, owning, or operating, maintaining any special or auxiliary equipment on the 12 load side of the service delivery point as necessary by the applicant. And F follows along with that by talking about that the applicant's responsible for using whatever equipment is necessary to maintain the proper 15 utilization voltage.

17 Do you have an understanding as to what that means to you as a customer? Again, I'm not asking for 18 you in any kind of expert capacity. As a PG&E customer, 19 do you have any kind of understanding what that means?20

A. It doesn't look to me like it is meant to apply to the average customer. I understand PG&E has a wide range of customers. This wording looks like it is addressing an applicant who has equipment that requires unusually stable voltage, and that it's talking about

that. As I understand it, again, from my layperson's research and basic understanding, appliances are designed in each country for the power range that is typically delivered. There are different appliances for different countries. This appliance and every other appliance that is there was designed for a range that is the tariff-specified or other CPU-specified range that PG&E is supposed to be able to supply.

Q. You understand, again, as a PG&E customer that PG&E doesn't guarantee a continuous level supply of electricity? Do you understand that?

A. I understand that PG&E provides a range or is supposed to provide a range of electricity that appliances are designed for. I understand that in this case I have expert testimony or expert repair people who have said it wasn't provided in this manner and that's what caused the damage.

Q. Right. But my question was do you understand that PG&E doesn't guarantee a continuous supply of electricity at a specified range based on the tariff rules we've just reviewed?

MR. LAPPING: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

MS. DODGE: Again, I'm asking in his capacity as a PG&E customer.

127

THE WITNESS: I am not an expert at the law or the tariffs, so I don't know if I can answer your question that I understand what you're stating. I've stated what I do understand.

BY MS. DODGE:

Q. You have an understanding that the tariff rules are put into place and they apply to all PG&E customers; correct?

A. I understand that there are tariff rules in place to contemplate all types of situations. It doesn't look like this applies to any of the equipment I was using.

13 Q. If we go back to Exhibit A, which is 14, where it talks about PG&E not guaranteeing a continuous supply of energy, you as a PG&E customer understand that you're bound by that; correct?

MR. LAPPING: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

MS. DODGE: Again, I qualified it as a layperson and as -- actually, not a layperson, as a PG&E customer.

THE WITNESS: Again, I don't have legal background. I don't know what this binds me to or doesn't bind me to.

25 ////

128

BY MS. DODGE:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

Q. Okay. All right. Let me stop the share. I'm almost done. So I want to ask you currently, today, are you advertising the lower unit for rent at all on any platforms? I know we talked about after, was it May of 2020 you stopped at that time but have you resumed since that time advertising the lower unit for rent?

A. Pardon me. The screen went out. The answer is no.

Q. Okay.

A. I think that will become evidently clear to you when you visit the property.

Q. Okay. Can you state for me on the record the 14 reason why you've not resumed efforts to rent out the lower unit?

A. Sure. PG&E has had multiple contractors out there doing gas lines -- PG&E owned gas lines and PG&E gas meters, movement and replacement work because the old ones were from 1936.

Q. Hold on. Let me just stop you there. I'm talking about now from May of 2020 to present have you made any efforts to rent or lease the lower unit? I think you're going back to before 2020 and that's not what I'm asking.

A. So as a consequence, as a direct consequence of

129

PG&E's gas line work that got done by multiple different contractors, and PG&E's failure to restore the damages done by those contractors, ultimately because PG&E left the sidewalk in a hazardous condition on either end of my driveway and affecting the 31 Bolinas property next door, ultimately after PG&E led us to believe that they were going to restore for over a year after they took almost two years from when they first started the project, and they first started the project after they commenced planning it after they identified the need three years prior to that when we first bought the property. We paid for three -- let me bring you up to speed. We waited for three years for PG&E to commence gas line replacement work that PG&E employees identified as necessary. We didn't identify it as necessary. PG&E identified it as necessary.

Then PG&E started the work. Then -- and PG&E did the work in reverse order from the agreed upon written plan. Then PG&E pulled the contractor off the job. PG&E did not return for another almost one year, 21 and then they returned with a different contractor who did it a different way again versus the plan. That 23 contractor said they would do all their restor -actually, the first contractor said they were going to do their own restoration. They would do the whole job

lickety-split, don't worry about it.

The second contractor said PG&E was going to take care of the restoration. PG&E then acted for a year after the approximately three years after the year --

Q. You lost me.

A. So there was an approximately a three-year wait period from when PG&E was planning this project.

Q. I know that, we just went through that. What I'm asking you is you said since May -- I'm talking starting point of May of 2020, and I believe based on my familiarity with the other claims that the work at your property was done by that point?

A. No.

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Q. It was not done?

A. The construction from PG&E contractors had been done.

Q. Okay. What was not done?

A. The restoration aside from a very limited area that was done incorrectly according to the MMWD employee that I spoke with by WK McLelland, otherwise, the bulk of the restoration was never done.

Q. What other restoration are you talking about? The driveway?

A. We've detailed all of that.

131

Q. That's in the other claims. Okay. So you're saying, and again, this is more properly handled in the state court action because those claims are there, but in terms of this particular claim, the refrigerator claim, you're -- and again, Rich, if you can clarify for me the two months of, you know -- I'm sorry. Not two months. The two years of rental losses you're claiming associated with the refrigerator claim and specify what those dates are that would be helpful. Okay?

MR. LAPPING: Okay.

BY MS. DODGE:

Q. But I want to make clear that those claims you're talking about, the ones you just referenced that has to do with the property damage claims not the refrigerator claim. You're saying you have not made efforts to rent or lease the property since May of 2020 due to what you contend to be the property damage claims, but it doesn't have anything to do with the refrigeration claim; correct?

A. Well, no, there's overlap.

Q. Since May of 2020?

A. Since before then.

23 Q. No. I just want since May of 2020. Are you 24 making any claim that you're not able to rent the property or that you've suffered rental losses due to

132

the damaged flooring in the lower unit since May of 2020 1 or is that your claim that's related to the property damage in the state court action?

A. As I said there's overlap. So as I've said, I advertised up until approximately May 2020.

Q. Right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

A. But what I thought you were aware of, but maybe you're not aware of, is that because PG&E ultimately after leading us along for approximately a year like they were going to do the restoration, ultimately told us we're done there. We're not going to do any restoration. After that because we and the town had been waiting for PG&E to do restoration, the town stepped in to remedy the hazardous sidewalk conditions. And because of that combination of events and everything that flowed from there, even though I had been advertising the rental, I was no longer able to advertise the rental because as you'll see it's in a hazardous condition, if you come visit the property.

Q. It's in a hazardous condition right now?

A. Depending on how you define that. There's no entry deck.

Q. Okay. That's related to your property damage claim in the state court action?

A. Yes, and the overlap.

133

Q. I'm not understanding the overlap, though, with the damaged flooring in the lower unit.

A. So I'll give you a couple of examples on things. If somebody is doing their business and then COVID happens, well, it changes things.

Q. Changed it for everybody.

A. Yeah. If I was advertising and showing the property, up until then perhaps until all the postings got taken down slightly beyond when the town went and did the sidewalk restoration --

Q. Okay.

A. -- that situation restoration caused other 13 problems that necessitated other work. That's the 14

Q. How does that overlap with the damaged flooring in the lower unit?

A. We had a bigger problem related to the sidewalk restoration --

Q. I know. You keep coming back to the sidewalk restoration. You keep saying there's overlap. When you 20 say overlap it makes it sounds like there's overlap between -- the insinuation is that you were unable or you've not been able to rent the property or advertise it for rent not only because of the sidewalk or outside property damage but also because of the damaged

flooring. I'm trying to clarify as to whether that's what you're claiming or not.

A. So.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

23

25

Q. When you say overlap, I'm not concerned about the property damage claims. Those are going to be dealt with in a different forum. What I'm talking about is the damaged flooring inside the lower unit that is related to this particular claim that we're discussing today.

10 A. Yes. So I thought I had already made it clear that in order to do the flooring repairs I would need to move out and there would need to be a three-plus, perhaps three- to six-month lead time before it got 14 done.

Q. But you're not living in the lower unit.

A. I understand that.

Q. Okav.

A. But as -- rather than rely upon your future visit, I'll describe that I was trying -- I was making efforts to on an as-is basis, a best effort basis in many different venues to rent that. Okay?

Q. Right.

A. Anyone who became seriously interested I did have to as delicately as I could without having, you know, it come across really bad inform them that PG&E

135

may be doing some work or we may have to do some restoration. I was trying to get somebody to rent it. I've evidenced that.

Q. Wait. When you say --

A. While I was trying to get somebody to evidence

it. You can answer ask your question. I can stop.

Q. I just wanted to clarify. You said you told prospective renters that PG&E might be doing restoration work. Are you talking about the restoration work outside?

A. Outside and that we would have to do work inside at some point.

Q. Inside the lower unit on the flooring?

A. This came up because different people had different time frames on when they wanted to move in, what their priorities were, what our priorities were, the shifting information that we got from PG&E and PG&E contractors.

Q. Uh-huh. Okay.

A. I'm still not making it clear.

Q. It's all right. I think I'm just going to 22 leave that one as is. I think we've sufficiently exhausted that topic. I want to go back to -- it might take a quick break to sum up. I want to go back to -in fact, I'll share my screen. These are the documents

136

that we produced from the claims file, and this is -hold on. Let me get the shared screen. PG&E0050. Can you see my screen here?

- A. Yeah. Some of it is too small to read.
- Q. Okay I'll make it bigger. So I think you had requested from Dustin Perkins or Kevin Shaw, who is cc'c6 here, on this e-mail on PG&E0050, a list of the outages that affected your premises looks like from April of 2015 to April of 2016, and then there's the reports for the outages from November 1st, 2015. I don't know if you can read these. Let me make it bigger. The first one here is -- let me go in here.

At the bottom here is 5/8/2015 which you weren't living in the property at that time; right?

A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

17

21

22 23

24

- Q. Okay. And then the next one up here is 2/26/2016, and it says unknown cause. Minutes out. Momentary. I think this is momentary or sustained momentary. 2/26/2016 you were at the property on that date; right?
- A. I was living there. I don't know whether I was at the property.
- Q. You weren't on -- that wasn't the time you were 24 on vacation -- that doesn't fall in that time period; 25 correct?

137

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

5

7

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

A. Correct.

Q. The next one above that is 3/16/2016, which is again here company initiated and momentary. It looks like 109 customers affected. Then if you go down the outage reports are here which let me see which one this is for. 3/16 which I believe was the date that was scheduled for the outage to do the work at 31 Bolinas. I'm going to try to get to -- I believe you had written an e-mail to PG&E regarding the outage on that date. That's the small claims. I don't know where it is. I'm 10 11 looking for it. Hold on. Maybe it's back here. No. 12 Okay. Yeah, here it is. This is PG&E0031. This is an e-mail that you wrote to Stacy Lam, L-a-m, at PG&E 13 14 claims department where you said, and this was 15 11:39 p.m., the power went out again today next door to my residence where four PG&E trucks were working to install three phase power for the building next door. 18 You said this is at least the second time since this claim was filed that the power has been unexpectedly 19 20 cutoff and surged when restored.

Did any of your appliances, did the refrigerator suffer any sort of damage as a result of this outage on March 16th?

A. Not that I'm aware of, but I did have to reset the clocks again.

Q. Okay. You had had the refrigerator had been -the starter relay had been replaced at this point; correct?

A. I would have to go back and see what date that he had completed his repair.

Q. It says service date. I'm just representing to you 2/25/2016.

A. We probably have to check with Fidelity or the Appliance Doctor. As I said, he came out at least twice. Once to diagnose and to order the -- find out what parts he needed and then another time he came back with the refrigerator starter relay to replace it.

Q. Okay. And let me go back to that other chart of outages. It's right here. Okay. Let me make this larger. Here, the last one here on the top is 4/6/2016 company initiated and this one looks like it was longer, 462 minutes out, so it was sustained as opposed to the others.

Do you recall being at the property when the power went out on April 6? Do you have a recollection

A. You know, I've been at the property a lot of times when the power has gone out. We seem to be in a bad power area. What went on during the time period in question was not the normal PG&E outages. This was that

139

PG&E was doing a big project next door.

- Q. Right. But my question is you don't have an independent recollection of this longer power outage here on April 6, 2016?
 - A. I would have to research --
 - Q. It doesn't jog your memory or anything?

A. I remember Dustin -- I remember asking Dustin to provide a record of the outages. This is what he came up with.

Q. Right.

11 A. So --

12 Q. Were you not satisfied with what he provided you? These are the outage reports here. 13

A. I've subsequently learned, Jennifer -- can you stop there and I'll --

Q. Sure. You mean on the page?

A. Right there.

Q. Oh.

A. When you're saying I'm not satisfied, one of 20 these it says unplanned. Okay? It says -- first it 21 says unplanned and then under cause it says company 22 initiated. So is it initiated but it was unplanned --23 if it was company initiated, wouldn't it be planned? Anyway, it's a little confusing.

Q. Okay.

140

- A. But after company initiated it says improper construction.
 - Q. Okay. So --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Above in details it says phasing NG. I'm guessing here but does NG mean no good at 43/65 fuses.
- Q. I have no idea. I'm not an engineer. I can't interpret these.
- A. Scroll down. If you scroll down here it identifies the people doing the work.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. It says Bill Jennings reports Number 1 and Number 2 of 3 blown 30Ts to 43/65 cut outs during loop. Open remaining fuse. It looks like 30Ts are some type of fuse and that two out of three of the fuses were 15 blown.
 - Q. Uh-huh. It goes on to say and tagged them so the customers wouldn't be out of power.
- A. Yeah, but it also says up above this happened 19 because of improper construction.
- Q. Okay. But I think you're making -- again, I'm not going to get into a debate with you about what the documents mean. I mean, if you want to ask somebody about outages and what it means, that's up to you. I think it's not really productive for us to speculate on what documents mean here. 25

141

A. Okay.

Q. And then -- okay. That's the one on 2/26. This one was for 4/06. Okay. All right. Let me see --I'm going to stop sharing here. Let me see if I've got anything else. Let's just take, like, a quick threeminute break, really brief three minutes. I just want to go over my notes and see if I have anything else. Okay?

MR. LAPPING: Okay.

MS. DODGE: All right. Thanks.

(Recess taken.)

12 BY MS. DODGE:

- Q. Let's go back on the record. I just have a 14 couple more questions. Are you aware that LG settled a large class action lawsuit regarding compressor related problems with its refrigerators in 2019?
- A. No, I'm not aware of that and this is not a 18 compressor problem.
 - Q. Did you ever check to see if your refrigerator was one of those impacted by the settlement?
 - A. As I said, I wasn't aware of the settlement.
 - Q. Okay. And just to clarify. You said your 22 refrigerator was not plugged into a power surge or surge 23 protector strip; correct? 24
 - A. Correct.

Q. Were any of the other appliances in either unit, the upper or lower unit, plugged into a surge protector in February of 2016 while you were gone on your trip to Hawaii?

A. Not that I'm aware of.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- Q. Do you use a surge protector strip for, like, when you're using a computer or anything at the property?
- A. Yes. It's my understanding that you're supposed to protect certain devices. The computers particularly. It's only when you have problem situations that you need typically to protect these other devices. Normally they are defined for the range of the power that is delivered.
- Q. Okay. Lastly, I want to go over, and this is already filed in the bankruptcy proceeding, but this is part of the amended claim. I apologize, I forgot to pull this up. Here it is. I'm going to share my screen again. This is -- can you see my screen?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So this is the motion to amend the claims that was filed by your attorney in March of this year. This is the attachment to the amendment of this claim we're talking about here. I just wanted to go through the itemization of damages here to make sure I

143

understand everything. The repair refrigerator, attached Exhibit 1, this is right here. That's the Appliance Doctor, right, we've talked about that that's the \$288.51; correct?

- A. I'm just looking at the date on the invoices.
- Q. It's hard to see. You can see right there, you can see service date 2/25/2016.
- A. Is that the initial service date or the final one?
- Q. I don't know because I haven't received another receipt. I just have this one, so I don't know.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. We have that. The cleanup of spoiled food for 14 \$225, did you hire someone to clean up the spoiled food or how did you come up with that number?

A. I did some of it and then I most likely had somebody also clean the place afterwards.

- Q. Okay. So is that --
- A. I had somebody at a later date after I did the initial clean up completely as best as possible clean up the refrigerator.
- Q. Okay. And is that what you paid them, then, \$225 to clean it up?
- A. Yes. That's the best that I was able to compile at the time as to what those costs were.

Q. Do you have a receipt for that clean up?

A. I don't have -- I don't know. I would have to go back through, check records if they're available. Usually I make some kind of notation. As I said, the work was split up between me and a helper that did the work.

Q. Is the \$225, are you including in that your own, like, labor costs for cleaning up the food or is that what you paid to somebody else?

A. You know, this was calculated a long time ago. I don't know the answer right now. I can go back and look to see if there's a check for \$225 or if there's a check for \$100 and \$125. I'm not sure at this moment with however many years have passed by how that number was derived.

Q. All right. Cleaning oriental rug. Was that a rug that was in the kitchen?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

17

18

20

22

23

24 25

Q. Did you send that out for cleaning to a dry-cleaners?

A. That was an estimate.

Q. Did you have the rug cleaned?

A. I don't think it -- I think I either dropped it 24 off and it never got done or something like that. There was some complication.

145

Q. You dropped off the rug and it never got cleaned?

A. I was talking with the guy on Miracle Mile and somehow it didn't -- I don't think it got done. I don't recall, but I don't think it got done. I think that was an estimate to get it done.

Q. All right. Do you have the rug back?

A. I have the rug. You can have it if you want.

Q. No, thank you. I appreciate that. Replacement 9 10 cost of spoiled food, I've seen the exhibits for that so I don't have any questions there. The cost to repair 11 damage to the floor and subfloor \$24,365, and I have the receipt -- the estimate for that which I think was 13 filed, Exhibit 3, was that. Rental costs, and that's we're talking about the \$3,600 in the rental period for 24 months. Rich, you said you would let me know exactly 6 what those dates are? 17

MR. LAPPING: Yes.

19 BY MS. DODGE:

Q. Time off work to deal with clean up and 21 contractors, five days. Is that your own time that you're calculating at \$1,000 a day?

A. I think somehow Rich and I had a conversation about this before it was amended. It's his calculation.

Q. This is the amended claim.

A. Yes. That's what I'm referring to.

Q. You said before it was amended. So you came up with this calculation?

A. For this amendment.

Q. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. We had a conversation about what the updated would be and we determined that that was what five days of my time should be worth.

Q. Okay. And how did you come up with \$1,000 a day?

A. I don't recall at this point how we determined that, but it might be based upon my hourly work that 13 I've done for Green Street Capital Management.

Q. For Green Street, what did you do for them?

A. I've acted as a consultant and advisor on all 16 kinds of different investment advisor, and investment issues.

Q. But were you working for them as a consultant in March of -- or February/March of 2016?

A. I'd have to look back to find when the last payment was that I received, but I don't think you understand the scope of what PG&E has done to my property, Jennifer.

Q. I was trying to ask how you calculated the \$1,000 a day and whether that was the job you were doing

147

at that time to come up with that estimate.

A. Yeah, it's based upon what I used to be able to get paid when doing work for an investment advisor.

Q. Okay. And when did you -- when did you most recently work for Green Street Capital Management?

A. As I just said, I would need to go back and perhaps ask them to search their records to see when their last payment was to me.

Q. Okay. Is it accurate to say since 2016 you have not worked for them?

A. No, it's not necessarily accurate. I think I've done some work for them subsequently. I just don't know when the last time was. I can tell you that because of the large amount of damages and complications that have occurred because of PG&E's activities on the property I am not able to have bandwidth to go do what I used to be able to do.

Q. Okay. Were you working for them on a 19 contractual, like a 1099 basis, Green Street?

A. I was an -- I don't know what the --

Q. Were you an employee or were you just an independent contractor?

A. I was just an independent contractor.

Q. Okay. That's 1099. Sorry. I didn't mean -- I should have clarified that. Okay. I don't think I have

148

1	anything else. Rich, do you have anything that you want	1	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY	
2	to put on the record?	2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)	
3	MR. LAPPING: No. I'll be able to do that	3	COUNTY OF FRESNO)	
4	later.	4		
5	MS. DODGE: Okay. All right. So I would like	5	I, TODD GREENBERG, do hereby certify:	
6	to not close the deposition completely in case I have	6	That I have read the foregoing pages	
7	any follow-up questions following the inspection next	7	through, inclusive. I hereby state there are:	
8	Tuesday. I don't anticipate that I I shouldn't say	8	(check one)	
9	that. I don't know if I will or not. I don't want to	9	no corrections.	
10	state that it's complete as of today in the event we	10	corrections per attached.	
11	might need to have some follow-up questions based on	11	I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the	
12	that. I'm sorry. Let me stop sharing my screen. We	12	foregoing is true and correct.	
13	can follow up after that to see if that's necessary, but	13		
14	for now I'm not sure how you want to handle the	14	Executed this day of,	
15	transcript. Again, this is under the federal rules,	15	2022, at, California.	
16	Melissa. I don't know if you want to Rich, you want	16	,,	
17	the transcript to be sent to you for Mr. Greenberg to	17		
18	review?	18		
19	MR. LAPPING: Yes.	19		
20	MS. DODGE: I think we should probably have a	20	TODD GREENBERG	
21	more compressed time period for review given rather than	21		
22	30 days because that puts us out until, yeah, um, let's	22		
23	say. What's your typical turn around?	23		
24	MS. REPORTER: Approximately two weeks.	24		
25	MS. DODGE: In terms of the transcript, we have	25		
	149	20	15 ²	1
		4		_
1	a trial date of June 27th and we have a discovery cutoff	1	WITNESS'S CHANGES OR CORRECTIONS	
2	of May 20th. We can waive that if we need to. Maybe we	2	NOTE: If you are adding to your testimony, print	
3	could try to expedite it to some extent because of the	3	the exact words you want to add. If you are deleting	
4	timing. Again, it's not a rush turn around next day	4	from your testimony, print the exact words you want to	
5	basis. If we could try to do that if that would be	5	delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this	
6	helpful. Then, Rich, you can provide your information	6	form.	
7	to Melissa to send the transcript to. Then do you want	7	Deposition of: TODD GREENBERG	
8	to say, like, 14 days to review and make any changes	8	Case Title: PG&E v. Pacific Gas and Electric	
9	necessary to the transcript. Does that work?	9	Date of Deposition: Friday, May 13, 2022	
10	MR. LAPPING: Yeah, that's fine.	10		
11	MS. DODGE: Okay.	11	I,, have the following	
12	MS. REPORTER: Mr. Lapping, will you be	12	corrections to make to my deposition:	
13	ordering a copy?	13	Page Line Change/Add/Delete	
14	MR. LAPPING: Yes.	14		
15	MS. REPORTER: So when do you wish to receive	15		
16	the transcript? A week, instead of two weeks?	16		
17	MS. DODGE: Yes, that will be fine.	17		
18	(Whereupon, the deposition proceedings	18		
19	were concluded at 5:14 p.m.)	19		
20		20		
21		21		
22		22		
23		23		
24		24		
25		25		
	150		152	>

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION			
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)			
3	COUNTY OF FRESNO)			
4	I, Melissa Slover, CSR No. 9787, a			
5	Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of			
6	California, County of Fresno, do hereby certify:			
7	That the foregoing witness was by me duly			
8	sworn; that the deposition was then taken before me at			
9	the time and place herein set forth; that the testimony			
10	and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and			
11	thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under			
12	my direction and supervision, and I hereby certify the			
13	foregoing deposition is a full, true and correct record			
14	of the testimony and proceedings taken at that time.			
15	I further certify that I am neither			
16	counsel for, nor in any way related to any party to said			
17	action, nor in any way interested in the result or			
18	outcome thereof.			
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my			
20	name on, 2022.			
21				
22				
23	Melissa Slover, CSR No. 9787			
24				
25				
	153			